

Black Collar Crime Spree

A Table Flipping Affidavit of Probable Cause detailing a highly sophisticated human trafficking and racketeering enterprise operated by a criminal cartel composed of State and Federal judiciary, with aid and support from elected and appointed officials (and their offices), connected via a secretive series of nested interstate commercial contracts related to billions of annual dollars tied to Title IV-D of the Social Security Act, which provides Federal Funding to the States routed to Counties and Courts, and the municipalities administer these courts through frequent, deliberate acts of constitutional omission, otherwise known as treason and sedition, to maximize judicial and county capture of the funds at the expense of litigants, especially fathers, and thus particular exposure to the general public of the interstate human trafficking and racketeering black collar cartel is via municipal courts unconstitutionally administering family law.

By Dr. Blair Jesse Ellyn Reich
aka. Aggroed Lighthacker

Blair Reich



**Edition 0.0.1, copyright Blair Reich as of
November 9, 2025**

License - Open Source with attribution

You're free to copy or reproduce any of this information, including for commercial purposes, but with the strict requirement that you attribute the copied portions or ideas presented back to this book, its author, and the corresponding website.

This book is written by a court hardened pro se litigant. Copy without attribution will be met with fierce legal resistance.

Table of Contents

One Pager

TL;DR - The short version

Warning

Preface

AFFIDAVIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE - Delivered October 27, 2025

Deprivation of Rights, Failure and Omission of Constitutional

Considerations, and Omission of Fundamental Fairness

About the Author

One Pager

The American Judicial system is corrupt and operates as a criminal cartel. The cartel is primarily composed of Black Collared Judges and White Collared appointed/elected officials. It is best described as a Checkered Collar Cartel, but Black Collar Cartel has a better flow to it and puts the emphasis on the fact that judges are running the criminal enterprise. This system exploits family law, which is why divorce matters feel unjust.

The initial objective of this criminal cartel is/was to rig the outcomes of family law matters to facilitate the transfer of Federal Funds coming from Title-IV-D of the Social Security Act to members of the cartel. There's roughly \$6B annually that's part of the Title IV-D system as of 2023. That's apparently enough **annual** money to corrupt the entire or majority of the judiciary. The system operates by way of an interconnected nested system of laws and contracts much like you may expect from judges hiding a cartel in plain sight. Title IV-D provides three sources of federal funds to this operation.

Expense Reimbursement

Incentive Pay

Child Support Collection Matching up to \$0.66 of every dollar collected

This is all a semi-open secret. The massive Social Security Act houses the program. It's spelled out in Title 304 of the Code of Federal Regulation. Beyond laws there are three main interstate/intergovernmental contracts that spell out how it all works-

State Plan - how the money flows from Federal Health and Human Services to State Department of Human Services

COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT - How the system works between State DSH, Counties, and the judicial system.

Intergovernmental Agency Contracts - IGAs - specific contracts in the system

This system is national. Every state that wants access to these funds has to have interstate contracts with the Federal Government and those contracts are kept consistent between the states. The contracts acknowledge "Federal Supremacy," but in operation the Courts intentionally deprive litigants of their constitutional rights to generate asymmetric outcomes for mothers as a way to maximize financial gain for the counties and judiciary. It's illegal, the system effectuates human trafficking and racketeering by way of judicial treason, and thousands of felonies and high crimes are committed by the cartel daily. I suspect it impacts other areas of life and law.

TL;DR

Seven Pager

Have you ever wondered why men all over America complain that family law feels unjust, can't quite explain why, but that nearly everybody in America knows that the courts drastically favor women? Modern women complain men are afraid of marriage, but many contend men are afraid of divorce. I have an uncomfortable explanation.

Family Law matters held in Municipal Courts are simulations of law managed by Black Collared judges who are engaged in human trafficking and racketeering (and dozens of other felonies) while committing daily acts of treason. They're doing this as part of an organized cartel made up of judges as well as elected and appointed officials.

So, you walk into court thinking your spouse is a litigant and the judge and the clerk of the court are reasonable people trying to lawfully adjudicate your family conflict. Buuuuutttt... it's more like you're walking into a space operated by the mob and a couple of crime bosses are putting you on trial. It turns out these mobsters can extract the most wealth by aligning with your wife on practically everything so the case devolves from a lawful dispute at the municipal *court of common pleas* and turns into more of a wealth extraction horror film in a mother worshipping *cult of common thieves*. She moves from co-litigant to co-conspirator to unlawfully extract more from you expanding the already atrocious experience to one of even deeper betrayal.

This cartel relies on an interstate nested series of secretive contracts and extensive, complex laws that provide federal funding when municipalities collect child support. The cartel works together as a black collared crime syndicate to extract as much of that federal funding as possible (billions annually). The funding starts with Title IV-D of the Social Security Act and flows to the State Department of Human Services and then Counties and the Judiciary can collect from there.

The interstate contractual framework is made up several contracts

Federal HHS to State DHS - "State Plan"

DHS / County / Judiciary - "Cooperative agreement"

Other linked commercial contracts - "Intergovernmental Agency Contracts" / "IGAs"

So, the municipal family law system was judicially engineered away from lawful and constitutional adjudication of parties interests under Federal Supremacy to a Simulation of Law where the outcomes are guided by the financial interests of the *county and*

judiciary (nothing to do with litigants) extracting money from the Title IV-D program with a cover of statutory compliance hiding intentional and deliberate abysmal compliance with the US and State constitutions. Litigants, especially fathers, suffer predictable and repeated irreparable harm and the Black Collar Cartel is unlawfully enriched with your wife along for the ride.

Mothers become an abnormally favored beneficiary of the unlawful system and provide the court a degree of legal cover, like maternal and child human shields, for illegal actions by the cartel. Every order issued is devoid of constitutional considerations making the order void ab initio and making the issuer engaged in the commission of treason and sedition by covert acts of omission of your constitutional rights. This is happening thousands of times a day in American municipal courts.

Mothers and their divorce attorneys have figured this out. They purposefully exploit the asymmetry of the system to maximize how much they can extract from husbands and fathers. So, your darling wife turns into an abrasive cartel co-conspirator and she psychotically drives this cartel to cause as much harm as possible.

The cartel is counting on your ignorance. They don't expect you to know court rules, state laws, the constitution, or constitutional case law regarding your rights. You're supposed to hire an attorney and have the attorney earn thousands of dollars while practically serving you up to the system as a ritual sacrifice to propel their career.

Destroy enough fathers and you can be District Attorney. Do that long enough and you'll soon be a judge. Not too many more years until it's time for you to shine as President Judge. Put on a good show for the parents, bow and curtsy to the judges, and be sure to have some funny jokes at the Secular Holiday parties. Just whatever you do... don't bring up constitutional rights and if some pro se litigant decides to bring them up be sure to gaslight them immediately.

NEWSFLASH - You have Rights!

In short, God granted you rights and many of those rights are protected by the US and State constitutions. Some of those rights are considered enumerated, which means they're directly referenced in the constitution and some of those rights are unenumerated. The judges in this cartel know they're supposed to ensure these rights, they're constitutionally bound by Federal Supremacy and they're contractually obligated by their "Affirmation of Federal Supremacy" in the interstate contracts. They're intentionally breaking the law. They're judges. They know this. They designed it. They're risking it all to launder Federal Funds.

You're owed Federal Supremacy and everything that comes underneath it

- Federal Supremacy
 - Fundamental Fairness and Justice under the 14th amendment in combination with other amendments and case law defining fundamental liberties as well as incorporation doctrine cases
 - Neutral Arbitration
 - Statutory Compliance
 - Due Notice
 - Meaningful Hearing at a Meaningful Time
 - Pre-Deprivation hearings
 - Due Process of Law
 - Substantive Rights
 - A spectrum of fundamental rights that require special consideration and protection when being abridged by the state under Parens Patriae or Police Powers.
 - Fundamental right to Justice
 - Care, Custody, and Control of Children
 - Acquire, Possess, and Protect Property
 - When Substantive Rights are involved procedural safeguards are required. It's legal to abridge your rights, but only in consideration of constitutional safeguards in the form of procedural steps
 - Procedural Safeguards
 - Presumptions
 - Parental Fitness
 - State Interest
 - Di minimus when Fit Parents involved
 - Burden of Proof
 - Standard of Proof
 - Evidence Standards
 - Strict Scrutiny
 - Narrowly tailored
 - Compelling state interest
 - Least restrictive means
 - Equal Protection under the Law
 - As Applied
 - Facial

There's a number of ancillary rights that get abused often like the judge creates dangers and puts you through them or they are indifferent to the laws they violate while you're giving them notice of the rights they violate while causing you harm.

If you're already in Family Law

Do us both a favor and get out some of your orders you've received to date. If you're doing this for a loved one, tell them to dig some of this stuff out of old email files or look up the case in the municipal records. And like a kid in school do some word matching. See how many of the terms from the above list of terms under Federal Supremacy you're able to find in your current or previous orders. My guess is the overlap of words from the list of constitutional prerequisites for lawful orders and the words in your current and previous family law orders is zero. That's not an oversight. That's institutionalized treason by the municipal judiciary acting like a coordinated cartel rather than lawful adjudicators of Article III and VI justice.

Note how devious this all is

The cartel operates by depriving you of rights you don't even know you have. You might know you have freedom of speech and religion. Did you know what rights you have in court under Federal Supremacy? It's a lot easier to discover and catch something that they're actively doing that's illegal rather than something they are intentionally **omitting**. Unless you've spent years in court and have read thousands of pages covering hundreds of years of Supreme Court Case Law you can't explain the crimes that are happening because you don't even know what they're intentionally depriving. Do you think that's an accident?

Psychopaths who engage this cartel

Mothers who aggressively engage with the Black Collared Cartel to enforce more atrocious things happening to you are complicit in the system. They're engaging a literal cartel/crime syndicate to cause you harm to benefit her and it. She likely doesn't know how bad her partner in crime is, so be sure to give her some notice. After that it's all fair game. Conspiring with a criminal cartel is illegal so be sure to factor that in when you're done being a punching bag spitting out money and you are ready to strike back.

What they say when you bring any of this up

Now at some point you might gather some skills, learn some of the material put in place here, ideally gathered by yourself directly from diligent reading of Supreme Court case law, and you try your hand at defending yourself with constitutional arguments. It'll feel like the first time you've ever thrown a punch back in court.

If you're expecting high fives, an angelic horn section blowing majestic melodies on your behalf, or a light coming down from heaven highlighting your awesomeness you're going to be mistaken. The most likely first thing they'll say is a toothless ad hominem "Looks like we got us a Saaavvvrrrrriiiiiinnnn Citzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzznnnn."

That's right, if you say "I have rights and you can't abridge them without a series of constitutional prerequisites and safeguards in place" they'll call you a "Sovereign citizen." If you don't immediately fold upon the pressure they may end up calling you an "extremist" who they consider "dangerous," and they may even demand you undergo a psychological evaluation to prove you're not some crazy person hosting such wacko ideas as "I have rights." As you continue pushing they'll talk about your repeated "frivolous" arguments. What kind of legal idiot claims they have rights in court before a judge?!? Also, if you lose your cool at any point in the court room while espousing such dangerous topics as "constitutional rights" be forewarned that they'll use contempt with jail time as another method to chill the notion you have constitutional rights.

Now, if you're undaunted by consistent gaslighting and mockery and you can keep your composure under fire from a judicial cartel member you may find yourself submitting a variety of documents to a variety of state and federal agencies. The menu includes such things as Bar Grievances, Judicial Reviews, Private Criminal Complaints, Professional reviews, police reports, 42 USC 1983 lawsuits, Habeas Corpus Complaints, RICO complaints, and you could even reach back into the playbook from the McCarthy era Red Scare days and pull up anti-commie laws.

You'll find this judicial cartel has friends in other parts of the judiciary and when you file complaints you'll get things back like "we've investigated ourselves and found no wrongdoing." You can also expect this classic line "lacks prosecutorial merit." That's because the people that are supposed to be watching out for rampant interconnected, interstate criminal cartels made up of judges and elected/appointed officials are all part of the interstate cartel.

My deeply uncomfortable explanation- The whole thing is compromised.

Even all this is Nested in the context of larger constitutional problems

The reason why the cover image is Russian nesting dolls that look like judges is that the problems that regular litigants are facing in Family Law courts aren't isolated issues. The problem is judicially engineered layers of nested problems. Each unlawful experience you have starting in your family law court is part of a larger and deeper nested series of constitutional problems diabolically engineered by the judiciary.

Everywhere you go in law you'll find that the illegal and unlawful experience you are having is housed in other larger problems. The unfair custody order and restraining orders are the start of asymmetric custody, which by state law and interstate contractual agreements allow them to increase the amount of support. The support order is intentionally oppressive to maximize federal funding. The unlawful freezures and seizures of your money if you don't pay or have arrears aren't constitutional either. When you start complaining there's a new layer of compromised people responding. This massive judicial cartel expands vertically through the court hierarchy and horizontally through ALL the different American geographies (like different municipal court systems).

There are structural problems in the judiciary, specifically it's been infiltrated by a black collar cartel, and they have engineered the court system away from constitutional adjudication to an unlawful simulation of law where you are treasonously deprived of your rights as a starting point of the experience. The judges doing this aren't stupid. They're diabolically brilliant. It looks clean from lots of directions. The scheme covers itself from attacks, and the only way to really figure out what's going on is to be an outsider who has learned the system so well by reviewing hundreds of years of Supreme Court case law to figure out what's **missing**.

ACTS OF COMMISSION DISGUISED AS COVERT ACTS OF OMISSION

What makes this cartel so effective is that the entire scheme rests on what they don't do as opposed to what they do do. They're supposed to protect your rights in court, but instead they're the ones actively depriving you of rights. They're supposed to intervene at times in the court process if the other side is completely and blatantly breaking the rules. Instead, the Black Collar Cartel is ensuring your rights are removed from the court process and helping your wife along the way with little things like not admitting evidence or letting her counsel lead witnesses.

Back in the day you could be a half illiterate, non-english speaking immigrant and slap down some poorly written English and the judges faithful to the law would generously interpret your words to form some kind of complaint or response. The discipline of law was not meant just for powerful elites and people with million dollar law degrees. It's not like that anymore.

The only shot you currently have of making any real headway in court is by mastering state statutes and court process so well that you can drive black letter law backed by years of case law into judges like a wooden spike through a vampire. The system is highly oppressive, but does allow limited relief from oppressive orders for some pro se

litigants that are exceptionally knowledgeable, diligently on top of their legal matters, and perform well under pressure while not spouting out patriot mythology.

The cartel is an extensive network and goes beyond municipal judges. It appears to have captured other agencies in the judiciary, appellate courts, some federal judges, and contractors like support/divorce/custody conference officers, people like the accounting nerds that work for your local DRS, and those operating their portion of this scheme in the state and federal agencies.

Title IV-D funds are in the billions of dollars annually. Is it that hard to think that a group of devious people would manipulate that for unlawful personal gain? If it's judges doing the crime is it that hard to believe that they covered their asses with an interlocked nested series of hard to get secretive contracts and gigantic volumes of hard to read and hard to digest federal laws and regulations?

If you've experienced family law to any extent as a man then you know it's devoid of justice. The reason that it's such an atrocious experience is that it's operated by a Black Collar Criminal Cartel made of the judiciary and elected/appointed officials in the county who are illegally laundering, stealing, and wire frauding their way to millions and billions of Title IV-D monies while they human traffick you and your kids this through racketeering enterprise with the help of your wife who is cashing in while complicit with the cartel. The whole thing reeks of treason and sedition. Title IV-D funding is the root of the judicial cartel and it spreads from there.

So, my review of Family Law - 0/10; would not recommend.

Other than the whole Black Collar Crime Spree it's a lovely system... though... I still have a few things to say about it...

Warning- One Way Trip

If you really learn and understand what's happening here you don't get to go back. In this Family Law Matrix I'm your Morpheous. Blue Pill or Red Pill? If you take the red pill you'll learn the truth, but the truth you'll learn is grim. There's a massive amount of corruption and crime and the people effectuating it are judges. They mostly operate by way of deprivation of rights you don't know you have and misapplication of laws which in one context are legal and another are not. More specifically, the judge in your divorce matters is the boots on the ground for the cartel.

If you start going down this road it's hard to go back. For example, if you start submitting judicial reviews on judges and criminal complaints against everyone and anything that touches your legal matters it's tough to start over and say "you know what... I'll just hire an attorney and let them deal with this." You're already marked.

Now, you might expect that once you fight back that the system gets worse, but I think since they know that the things they are doing are literal treason that they *sometimes* soften. Each experience fighting back is a matter of facts and circumstances and more importantly relies on your performance. The same person speaking the same script will have different outcomes based on how they share the same info. Don't just wing it. If you choose to fight, get yourself prepared and fully commit the time and dedication.

So, I am a stickler for notice. If you go down this path at all, prepare to go down the whole way. It's not rainbows and unicorns. It's a nasty business and a fight for your life against odds stacked against you. Depending on your temperament you might find that to be a nightmare scenario and not want anything to do with it. You might feel like it's your personal one against many heromorph like the 300 Spartans at Thermopylae. It's just you against your wife, her attorney, and an interstate cartel of elected/appointed officials working with corrupt judges to extract wealth from the feds via you. To be clear; it's very challenging, but every now and again the win is absolutely epic and glorious.

If you're inclined to take the blue pill, put the book down before you get yourself in trouble. Call your doctor if you experience an emasculation lasting more than 4 hours. Take the red pill and I'll try to show you what's up, but what you do from there is on you. The book is free, I'm not an attorney, this isn't legal advice, and you need to govern yourself accordingly keeping in mind that the stakes are your kids, property, income, and possibly your freedom. If you pray, pray. If you don't, start.

Preface

Low Conflict Divorce

The following book is what you're going to experience in family law and why it's so broken. The brokenness likely extends far beyond the family law experience, but my personal experience is tied to family law.

This book isn't really for the families that can cordially make it through the horrific experience of divorce with kids. A cordial divorce is one that involves minimal impact by the county courts. You handle it entirely between yourself and your spouse, or maybe you jointly hire an attorney to help write the divorce decree, custody plan, and handle support privately between yourselves. At worst, you can have a mostly cordial divorce while relying on a touch of mediation where you're both trying to do your best by each other and your families while also working out complicated differences of opinion and law on certain pieces of property, custody, and income while working on a mutually agreeable pathway for life going forward.

If you can do that. It's preferable. Anything other than engaging municipal courts is preferable once you learn how they operate. But that's not always possible. Sometimes there's just too much conflict.

If by some act of Grace you're able to have that type of divorce I would strongly recommend you read the book "No BS Divorce Strategies For Men" by Matt O'Connell Published by Commonwealth Marketing, Inc, 16526 West 78th Street #161, Minneapolis, MN 55346. The Fifth Edition is copyright in 2010. Actually, whether you can or can't have that type of divorce this is a powerful resource to give you the overall strategy for getting in and out of divorce as quickly as possible.

Do whatever you can to handle your business privately and avoid the courts. That said... sometimes you can't avoid it and if you get shoved down this rougher road then this book, Black Collar Crime Spree, is meant to help you sturdy your loins, learn what you're up against, and prep yourself to be something other than a punching bag filled with cash and tears while emptied of kids, property, and desire to live.

Conflicted and High Conflict Divorce

The following material is about divorces with conflict or high conflict and the couple that uses the court system to adjudicate it. Anything you can do to avoid this story is in the

best interest of you, your wife, and your kids. But life (your wife) likely won't offer you the choice, and instead you're going to be forced through this experience. It sucks, and I'm here to try to tell you why it is so atrocious.

In short, you're not just fighting your wife. You're fighting an institutionalized cartel operated by the very black-collared judges who are administering your legal matters. It may look statutorially compliant, but the three or more matters comprising your divorce are going to be a mass grave of unceremoniously buried due process violations. The court intentionally and unconstitutionally deprives you of Justice, Fundamental Fairness, and Due Process of Law as step one, and then deprives you of your liberty to care, custody, and control your kids and also your ability to acquire, possess, and protect property as step two.

Time for a nightmare

Imagine for a second that you're in your late thirties or early forties. You're a man and you're getting divorced for the first time. You and your wife have a few kids, a house with a mortgage, maybe some stocks or crypto as your finances of last resort, and it's been incredibly hard keeping up with the current national financial catastrophes leading to unprecedented inflation while wages stagnate. You're barely floating by and always feel one paycheck away from losing everything. AI already took your friend's job. You're working harder than ever, she hates you more than ever, and despite all your hard work you still can't get ahead financially. Your kids are asking why Daddy doesn't spend more time with them while your wife stands behind them egging them on as she's feeling frustrated that you're working overtime, which makes her the lone parent on the kids for longer hours. You're doing everything you can to keep it together and she's pissed because you're not doing your share... She's fed up with you, her girlfriends are constantly telling her she can do better, and infinity men on infinity apps are sliding into her DMs the second she drops her marital guard.

You and your dear wife are either fighting all the time or spending long stretches of time not even talking to each other. Something finally cracks. Maybe it's an affair. Maybe it's alcohol. Maybe it's a fight or physical altercation. Maybe it's just the willpower of one of you. But something bad happens. It's super awkward for a while. Maybe you're physically separated in different houses or just living separately in the same house.

One day, after a long work day in a series of sleepless nights, your terrible boss gave you the business and shouted he's going to replace you with an H1-B. You finally get home from work. You sit down in your favorite chair hoping to relax for just a second before dealing with the all-too-regular pain of life. Before you even get your boots off the doorbell rings, and a chubby middle aged dude with a dark blue crew neck shirt,

leather jacket, a badge, file folder, and fabulous mustache is at your doorstep. You've just been served with a praecipe for divorce.

You don't know it yet, but your already painful existence is about to get worse... a lot worse. You don't know any law because your high school didn't even teach you how to fight a parking ticket let alone a complicated divorce. Thankfully you know $A^2 + B^2 = C^2$ and that mitochondria are the powerhouse of the cell, but it doesn't seem all that relevant to paper work in front of you.

Further, when you're married and have kids, a divorce isn't just one legal matter, but three separate legal matters: child custody, child support, and division of property. When she finally files it's also after she's had time to prepare. A typical first action by her initiating the divorce is that she takes half or more of the funds you have in a joint bank account and any shared portfolios. "This money is ours, and I deserve half." So, you're going through the withdrawal of your marriage and best friend, lost half the cash you have on hand, you know your income is about to get crunched but your expenses don't change cause you're in the same house, she's paying for a separate living arrangement, and now you're both about to add legal bills at hundreds of dollars an hour into the mix. Broke doesn't begin to cover it. Your income amount doesn't matter because you're going to have expenses far in excess of your income. You're going to have to work even more and see the kids even less while she sits there siphoning your hard work and playing the victim. It's financial and spiritual nightmare fuel.

In case you missed it, if you're a dude and you have any ability to steer your divorce towards a more cordial divorce that's highly suggested. My story, which is just one version of the story told over and over in family law, is that the primary bread winner, who is almost always the father, is about to get steamrolled in court in horrific ways. Your kids, income, and property are held hostage, and every part of the process feels deeply invasive, unjust on a million different levels, and leads to absurd outcomes that even dudes without a legal background know reek of injustice. And to fight back you can't just waltz in and wing it. You need to be in mental shape for the fight of your life.

High Conflict - The Crazy Bitch Playbook and initial restraining order

That's not even the high conflict version of the story. That's the baseline of a conflicted divorce. Worse yet, modern women and their divorce attorneys have figured out that something isn't quite right in the court experience. Instead of looking into why the courts have such an asymmetric experience between men and women they just psychotically lean into it. Before you know it, your angelic high school sweetheart is a demonic motion-wielding shewitch abusing the asymmetry of the court to extract three unreasonable requests-

- she wants an absurd amount of your income,
- she wants an absurd amount of your property,
- and she wants the majority or exclusive custody of the kids.

The high conflict version is when on top of all the hazards of the regular conflict divorce she pulls out the “Crazy Bitch Playbook” and she starts her magnum opus of marital implosion with a restraining order. She’s guided by her family law attorney to say you did something bad... it almost doesn’t matter what it is. She just says it at the municipal court in a private petition and the court rubber stamps the order. Before you know it you’re locked out of your house, she has full legal and physical custody of the kids, you may spend some time in jail, and amazingly all that happens before you even step foot in a court. Welcome to the suck.

Now, the story doesn’t unfold over just a day though. The story unfolds over years as this system holds you hostage until your youngest child turns 18, or even older. It’s a grueling experience where your rights are trampled over and over again in seemingly the most egregious ways. They’re literally dangling access to your kids over your head for money while extracting every last nugget of wealth they can get their hands on.

Short of war or gang rape in prison this experience ranks as one of the most nightmarish hellscape possible, and it’s happening to thousands of men across the country regardless of state almost as if there’s the same perverse system acting everywhere in America. And this whole thing is performance based. So, you’re getting reamed financially, you haven’t seen your kids in months, your wife is making your life a living hell, the judges are issuing the most despotic things possible, and if you lose your cool for just a second they’ll use it to justify everything and further harm you.

Purpose of Black Collar Crime Spree

This book has two key objectives. The first is that it’s literally an Affidavit of Probable Cause. I’m stating for the public at large and officials in government that there is criminal activity taking place, I’m describing it, and I’m showing how it violates the law. I’m swearing under penalty of perjury that this is happening and doing my best to expose a criminal cartel of judges and elected and/or appointed officials committing heinous crimes effectuated by daily acts of treason.

The second purpose is to try to get the public to understand what’s happening, why it’s totally unlawful, and give fathers knowledge and maybe even a fighting chance. In reality, the system is deeply entrenched and very powerful. So, don’t read this book and

think “I’m going to walk all over them now.” That’s not going to happen until there’s at least a couple of us in every county fighting these courts every day. So, we’re still very much uphill. But I think just by naming what this is and later providing some a la carte menu options of things you can do to resist it may lead to a seachange. This has been absurdly hard to navigate and you’re getting the benefit of my five years living through this absurd nightmare. Learn from my mistakes. Make your own. Fight back.

So, sorry, the following material isn’t necessarily going to get your wife, kids, income, or property back. I’m not claiming a high success rate. It’s hard to succeed when fighting a criminal cartel, but I do get wins, and that’s better than most fathers do.

This book may help you win some battles. It can make things worse. You might misapply it or just get unlawfully clocked by a judge who is trying to illegally chill you while depriving you. We’re pretty well past the point of hoping for a reasonable court experience. What you’re facing as a Dad in family law isn’t remotely fair, legal, lawful, or constitutional. Set your expectations accordingly. You’re up against a nightmare machine where the state grinds you into dollars and cents as part of an interstate human trafficking and racketeering operation while your wife cheers and your kids fall apart. It’s excruciating.

What is the Black Collar Cartel?

The Black Collar Cartel is a combination of elected/appointed officials working with judges to intentionally deprive you of your property, to steal your income and they unlawfully collect interstate Federal Funds. I thought about calling it the Checkered Collar Cartel because it’s a combination of white collared criminals and black collared criminals screwing everyone, but it doesn’t roll off the tongue as well. It does have a little masonic vibe, but I think that’s too far out of scope for this.

Beyond the Feds, State, Counties, and Judicial systems that are part of the Black Collar Cartel there also appear to be third party contracts between agencies like the District Attorney in every county. I’m working to get these contracts but I believe there are multiple third party contracts with other agencies like the Attorney General of the state. As far as I can tell so far, the cartel is mostly housed in the judiciary, but I think there are congressmen involved too as the Supreme Court of each state typically has Congressmen that serve on their Judicial Conduct Board and it would appear those groups are compromised too.

Beyond the people formally contracted are people that are engaged in the system. There’s the gals in the clerk’s offices, the private attorneys that gather like moths to a flame around county courts, and there’s the third party contracted attorneys who are

custody/divorce/support conference officers who serve as a first line of contact before the matter is heard before a judge.

There's a lot of interwoven laws across the country that allows for this all to happen, but the funding source itself is the Federal Social Security Act. SSA is actually a massive Bill and massive program so there's a lot of different sections to it. There's honestly probably lots of fraud and theft in the other sections too, but I haven't lived that experience so I can't personally attest to it. What I do know is that under Title IV-D there are at least three types of payments that are routed through a nested series of interstate contracts that move from the Federal HHS to the State DHS, and then to counties, courts and ultimately elected/appoint officials and judges.

There are a variety of contracts and I'm still working on getting all of them, but the contract that defines the relationship between the Feds and the State is called the "State Plan." This is how money moves from the Federal Government to the State government. If you don't know that the federal and state governments are separate this is an important factor. Because the money is now moving interstate and that changes the jurisdiction from simply states managing things to the feds managing things.

At the present time I think the states are horribly corrupted and the fed somewhat corrupted. So, you have a slightly better shot dealing with the feds then the states. Also, the states are really used to working within their state bubble. They start getting really uncomfortable when they are getting pulled out of their state comfort zone and brought before federal judges and agencies. They interact all the time with other states, but they don't have the same personal relationships with the Feds so they're much less confident in how this one particular Federal Judge or Agent might respond to complaints.

There's at least three different ways that money is moved via Title IV-D funds-

Expense Reimbursement

Incentive Payments

Matching funds for child support collected

It operates nearly the same way in every municipal court in the country because if the counties want to work with the Federal Government and get expense payments, incentive pay, and highly coveted matching funds from the government up to 66 cents of every dollar collected in child support then the state and county have to agree to the terms set by the Feds. The terms set by the feds attempt national consistency, meaning each set of contracts between the Feds and the State aim to be as similar as possible,

and they are further restricted by the Social Security Act itself and Title 304 of the Code of Federal Regulations that detail some of the logistics.

Now this is a judicially created racket that has spanned its operation over years. So, what you will see is something that looks legal and lawful on the surface. It's a diabolically crafted system by bad faith judicial officials so even if you scratch the surface it'll still look airtight like it's a lawful court of law and you lost your case(s) fair and square while you're staring at the mass destruction of your life to date. But, I've been here five years, and I've been noticing some funky behavior for those five years that doesn't make sense in a fair system.

How does it continue to operate if it's literally a treasonous human trafficking and racketeering system?

What I've ultimately concluded is that the system deprives you of constitutional rights while looking like it's providing you with statutory compliance. If you're savvy enough to get your hands on the DOMESTIC RELATIONS SECTION laws in your state and read through them you'll be hard pressed to see that the court did something wrong. It feels wrong, it feels unjust, but admittedly what they're doing looks statutorily compliant. But then again, they're diabolically brilliant judges, of course it was going to look clean.

The problem is that the way they operate isn't constitutional. You have rights. Those rights come from God and are protected in the US and State Constitutions against the government infringing on them. Don't get mistaken though, the government has the right to infringe on your rights and liberties, but that has to be done in what I like to call "the abundance of caution regarding your constitutional safeguards, restrictions, and procedural process" to make sure the individual is protected.

The other thing they consistently do is misapply laws. There are laws on the books that are legal and lawful, but then the judiciary will purposefully misapply the rules, laws, standards or whatever. So, you're left harmed and confused trying to figure out what just happened.

These sophisticated judges are expertly weaving legal and lawful with criminal and treason. From nearly all angles of inspection it looks as though the outcomes and operation are statutorily compliant and only an insanely in depth exploration by an outsider would uncover that they are constitutionally vacant and deeply unlawful. If you fight them they'll claim good-faith judicial immunity for bad-faith criminal actions. It looks like they have it all nailed down, but the core remains an intentional unconstitutional deprivation of rights leading to human trafficking, racketeering, fraud, wire fraud,

securities and negotiable instrument fraud, mail fraud, unfair business practices, conspiracy, aggravated identity theft, and honestly they're collectively breaking hundreds of laws and committing thousands of felonies and high crimes every day.

Deprivation of Rights, Failure and Omission of Constitutional Considerations, and Omission of Fundamental Fairness

A Table Flipping Affidavit of Probable Cause detailing how the COUNTY OF LANCASTER, the COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA and corresponding DOMESTIC RELATIONS SECTION operate a sophisticated interstate racketeering enterprise by way of hard to detect deprivation of rights and covert omission of constitutional considerations leading to deliberate denial of 14th Amendment protected Fundamental Fairness and Due Process of Law, which manifests in intentionally unlawful and unconstitutional void ab initio orders criminally depriving unsuspecting litigants of their fundamental rights to Fairness, Custody, and Property without Due Process of Law for unjust rewards supplemented by fraudulently induced Title IV-D interstate Federal matching funds and expense reimbursement funds, and the criminal enterprise in its entirety, which is operated by duly sworn elected and appointed officials warring with the Federal Constitution, amounts to treason, sedition, and crimes against humanity where these officials then protect themselves with unscrupulously misapplied claims of good faith judicial and official immunity to their bad faith criminal activities resulting in seismic disruptions of the Peace and Dignity of Pennsylvania and her Residents, Inhabitants, Citizens, and Nationals.

Fourteenth Amendment

Section 1

All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

18 USC § 1961(1): Racketeering Activity

(1) “racketeering activity” means (A) any act or threat involving murder, kidnapping, gambling, arson, robbery, bribery, extortion, dealing in obscene matter, or dealing in a controlled substance or listed chemical (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act), which is chargeable under State law and punishable by imprisonment for more than one year; (B) any act which is indictable under any of the following provisions of title 18, United States Code: Section 201 (relating to bribery), section 224 (relating to sports bribery), sections 471, 472, and 473 (relating to counterfeiting), section 659 (relating to theft from interstate shipment) if the act indictable under section 659 is felonious, section 664 (relating to embezzlement from pension and welfare funds), sections 891–894 (relating to extortionate credit transactions), section 932 (relating to straw purchasing), section 933 (relating to trafficking in firearms), section 1028 (relating to fraud and related activity in connection with identification documents), section 1029 (relating to fraud and related activity in connection with access devices), section 1084 (relating to the transmission of gambling information), section 1341 (relating to mail fraud), section 1343 (relating to wire fraud), section 1344 (relating to financial institution fraud), section 1351 (relating to fraud in foreign labor contracting), section 1425 (relating to the procurement of citizenship or nationalization unlawfully), section 1426 (relating to the reproduction of naturalization or citizenship papers), section 1427 (relating to the sale of naturalization or citizenship papers), sections 1461–1465 (relating to obscene matter), section 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice), section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations), section 1511 (relating to the obstruction of State or local law enforcement), section 1512 (relating to tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant), section 1513 (relating to retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant), section 1542 (relating to false statement in application and use of passport), section 1543 (relating to forgery or false use of passport), section 1544 (relating to misuse of passport), section 1546 (relating to fraud and misuse of visas, permits, and other documents), sections 1581–1592 (relating to peonage, slavery, and trafficking in persons), sections 1831 and 1832 (relating to economic espionage and theft of trade secrets), section 1951 (relating to interference with commerce, robbery, or extortion), section 1952 (relating to racketeering), section 1953 (relating to interstate transportation of wagering paraphernalia), section 1954 (relating to unlawful welfare fund payments), section 1955 (relating to the prohibition of illegal gambling businesses), section 1956 (relating to the laundering of monetary instruments), section 1957 (relating to engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity), section 1958 (relating to use of interstate commerce facilities in the commission of murder-for-hire), section 1960 (relating to illegal money transmitters), sections 2251, 2251A, 2252, and 2260 (relating to sexual exploitation of children), sections 2312 and 2313 (relating to interstate transportation of stolen motor vehicles), sections 2314 and 2315 (relating to interstate transportation of

stolen property), section 2318 (relating to trafficking in counterfeit labels for phonorecords, computer programs or computer program documentation or packaging and copies of motion pictures or other audiovisual works), section 2319 (relating to criminal infringement of a copyright), section 2319A (relating to unauthorized fixation of and trafficking in sound recordings and music videos of live musical performances), section 2320 (relating to trafficking in goods or services bearing counterfeit marks), section 2321 (relating to trafficking in certain motor vehicles or motor vehicle parts), sections 2341–2346 (relating to trafficking in contraband cigarettes), sections 2421–24 (relating to white slave traffic), sections 175–178 (relating to biological weapons), sections 229–229F (relating to chemical weapons), section 831 (relating to nuclear materials), (C) any act which is indictable under title 29, United States Code, section 186 (dealing with restrictions on payments and loans to labor organizations) or section 501(c) (relating to embezzlement from union funds), (D) any offense involving fraud connected with a case under title 11 (except a case under section 157 of this title), fraud in the sale of securities, or the felonious manufacture, importation, receiving, concealment, buying, selling, or otherwise dealing in a controlled substance or listed chemical (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act), punishable under any law of the United States, (E) any act which is indictable under the Currency and Foreign Transactions Reporting Act, (F) any act which is indictable under the Immigration and Nationality Act, section 274 (relating to bringing in and harboring certain aliens), section 277 (relating to aiding or assisting certain aliens to enter the United States), or section 278 (relating to importation of alien for immoral purpose) if the act indictable under such section of such Act was committed for the purpose of financial gain, or (G) any act that is indictable under any provision listed in section 2332b(g)(5)(B);

Reich: Blair-Jesse-Ellyn
Two Hundred and Twenty Seven Cherry Street
Columbia, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania
blairjesseellynreich@gmail.com
979-574-1577
Free White Man, Pennsylvanian, agent, sui juris

OBO BLAIR JESSE ELLYN REICH
227 CHERRY STREET
COLUMBIA, PA 17512
ENS LEGIS, US CITIZEN, PERSON, PRO SE

October twenty seventh, Anno Domini two thousand and twenty-five, and of the
Independence of the united States of America two hundred and forty-nine.

OFFICE OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY
HEATHER ADAMS
50 N. DUKE ST.,
LANCASTER, PA, 17602

SUBJECT: AFFIDAVIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE RE TREASON, SEDITION, 18 USC
242, 18 USC 1961-1968 AND ADDITIONAL FELONIES.

Dear District Attorney Heather Adams,

I have recently had an epiphany regarding the adjudication of legal matters entwined in the Reich V Reich divorce deliberated in the COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA and related LANCASER DOMESTIC RELATIONS SECTION, which is now entering year six. This epiphany was supported by the receipt of two documents that helped me have a deeper understanding of the profoundly unlawful way Lancaster County, Lancaster CCP, Lancaster DRS, and PA HHS operate together in an extremely sophisticated Racketeering Enterprise.

- Epiphany- After many months and thousands of pages of Supreme Court Case Law under my belt in the larger context of five years of unjust family law, the 14th Amendment finally clicked and I suddenly have a much stronger understanding of my rights and privileges as well as a deep understanding of Fundamental Fairness and how Federal Supremacy requires it to be implemented in the courtroom.

- Document 1: I am in recent receipt of an intergovernmental agency contract for Cook County, Illinois that details the relationship between the State Health and Human Services and Cook County; particularly regarding the payment scheme related to Title IV-D funds stemming from the Federal Reimbursement Program of the Federal Social Security Act for child support collected at the municipal level. It's one contract in a secretive series of contracts between Federal HHS, State HHS, Counties, Judiciary, and individual Judges. Note for later how the flow of Federal Funds from a Federal entity to a State entity makes it an interstate contract. I have previously attempted to get this contract and related contracts for Lancaster County and have thus far been denied, but to participate in the SSA system each state needs to have almost verbatim language and thus I can make some very deterministic assumptions regarding the Lancaster County version of this IGA with Pennsylvania Health and Human Services while I make new attempts to retrieve Lancaster County and Pennsylvania specific contracts.
- Document 2: I am in receipt of the attached signed confession to Treason, Sedition, 18 USC 242 and other crimes. Admittedly, it's an oddly titled, lengthy, and somewhat rambling signed confession. This document is an astonishing admission of guilt for a myriad of crimes by Retired Senior Judge William P Mahon (hereinafter Bill or WPM) acting directly as agent for his principal Pennsylvania Supreme Court Chief Justice Debra Todd. You may read the document and see that it's actually titled "Final Opinion and Order" in a custody matter and you may think it bizarre that I would deem it a signed confession of Treason, Sedition, and 18 USC 242 along with other crimes. I would ask you to suspend your disbelief for a moment and realize that this document is one of the most absurdly unconstitutional, criminal, and unAmerican things a man could put to words.

In short, I have uncovered that the operation and cooperation (conspiracy) of LANCASTER COUNTY with the COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, and LANCASTER DRS is a highly sophisticated racketeering operation funded in part by Pennsylvania Health and Human Services. The enterprise siphons Federal Matching Funds from Title IV-D of the Federal Social Security Act into the State HHS, then into Counties, then into Judicial entities. Elected and appointed officials receive funding from this secretive nested series of contracts at various stages of the operation. Like any successfully operating Racketeering enterprise this one has aspects that look lawful on the surface and this one is sophisticated enough such that both superficial and nuanced investigations into it would appear to pass statutory requirements. It also has compartmentalization of different aspects of the enterprise so that no one group is obviously and wholly responsible for the racket.

The critical missing component, the deprivation and omission that underpins the operation, isn't statutory compliance, but constitutional compliance. The methodology employed by the sophisticated apparatus is a purposeful circumvention of constitutional considerations that lead to, inter alia, misapplied law, unconstitutional orders, deprivation of rights, unlawful garnishment, unlawful seizure, fraudulent and fabricated obligations, fraudulent securities, fraudulent negotiable instruments, unlawful federal reimbursements, wire fraud, mail fraud, human trafficking, peonage, and ultimately lead to the predictable irreparable harm to Pennsylvanians dwelling in Lancaster County and seismic disruption of the peace and tranquility of Pennsylvania. The predictable and repeated refrain from the racketeers by anyone that attempts to interrupt their illegal enterprise is a two part response: the person attempting to disrupt it is maligned as a "sovereign citizen" with "frivolous" arguments, and the officials inappropriately claim lawful good-faith "immunity" and "judicial immunity" while bad-faith operating an interstate racketeering operation. Since the entire operation intentionally wars against the Federal Constitution as the core operation it merits Treason and Sedition for the perpetrators, and Misprision of Treason for anyone that knows about it, but fails to stop it.

The hardest part to uncover of this racketeering enterprise, but once uncovered is glaringly obvious, is that the Court and County operate via deprivation of rights and omission of Fundamental Fairness in intentional direct opposition to the requirements of Federal Supremacy. Acts of commission would be much easier to detect than these acts of omission, and thus the most sophisticated portion of how these entities operate together is exceptionally hard for laymen and attorneys alike to explain even when it's felt by nearly every man that walks through this system.

I've spoken to many people in my five-year trauma-filled jaunt through family law and there's an abundance of men, especially in law enforcement, that have horrific stories detailing the conscious shocking experience they had in family law. They overwhelmingly would share things with me that the outcomes were horrible, felt unfair, and were oppressively burdensome, but to a man they had a difficult time placing a finger on what went wrong and how. That said, the overall feeling is that they've been seriously violated and the family law experience felt like wrongdoing rather than justice. However, they couldn't provide a detailed explanation of why it felt that way and could only provide a general feeling that it was horribly unjust.

After five years in this system I can finally name the missing component: Fundamental Fairness. And I readily admit that it was hard to find, hard to define, and hard to figure out. State Statutes never touch this term. There is not a page in the consolidated statutes that would lay out the requirements for a layman to know what Fundamental Fairness looks like. The requirements are spread out over 150 years of Supreme Court Case law and there's not a tidy or pithy description of them that an average litigant in

Lancaster County would stumble across to detail the missing ingredient in the simulation of law masquerading as family law and which is enabling this interstate racketeering enterprise in LANCASTER COUNTY.

So, litigants in family law experience deprivation of rights, omission of fundamental fairness, misapplication of law, and are repeatedly, predictably, and irreparably harmed as a result, but they can hardly explain why. They feel it, but it's hard to give words to because it's something that's missing and omitted (omission) rather than some outright action that the judges do (commission).

THIS BEGS THE QUESTION: WHAT IS FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS?

Fundamental Fairness stems from the Federal Constitution, particularly the Bill of Rights, and later from the 14th Amendment. The 14th Amendment was passed after slavery was outlawed by way of the 13th Amendment and the 14th Amendment created a new class of Federal Citizenship. Part of what the Legislators and Judiciary were attempting to deal with at the time is that Blacks, specifically in the South, may have been freed, but weren't considered state citizens or able to be state citizens a la the Dred Scott Decision. Newly freed slaves didn't have basic rights of citizens and despite technical freedom still experienced de facto slavery-like conditions in the absence of rights and constitutional protections. By granting federal citizenship and declaring that no citizen of the United States could be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law and equal protection under the law the 14th Amendment meant to establish the bare minimum standards necessary to ensure that all persons across all states had a fair experience in court. That's Fundamental Fairness.

Due Process of Law and Equal Protection under the Law are not clearly defined in the 14th Amendment, and that means that the interpretation of those words and their application to law and courts was left up to the Supreme Court of the United States. They've deliberated over the last 150 years to determine what those words mean in various contexts. What's frustrating is that there isn't one Supreme Court case that one can use to get a pithy description of what Fundamental Fairness looks like and instead the only way to gather it together is to look through 150 years of Supreme Court Case Law, particularly "14th Amendment Cases" and "Incorporation Doctrine Cases," and then put it together like the legal equivalent of a puzzle.

Most people can't or won't do that. Most people can't or won't do that especially while in family law matters and under the stress and duress caused by the racketeering enterprise as it unlawfully holds children, property, and income hostage. It's also dangerous to do as the racketeering system does not like it when Pro Se litigants push back. That's why most people going through this system can't name the problem with

the system and they can't do anything about the problem. Fortunately for me and unfortunately for this Racketeering operation I am not most people.

I have gone through hundreds of years and thousands of pages of Case Law to put together the basic framework that's supposed to work as the bare minimum standards of Fundamental Fairness under Federal Supremacy and the 14th Amendment, and I can confidently share that the COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA and corresponding DRS applies none of it and/or applies none of it properly. Their omission of Fundamental Fairness and constitutional considerations predictably and repeatedly causes irreparable harm to Pennsylvanians, causes seismic disruptions to the Peace and Dignity of Pennsylvania, and it's a covert willful and purposeful omission leading to the highly uncomfortable position that the people comprising Lancaster County, the Lancaster CCP, and Lancaster DRS are intentionally violating the Constitution and thus committing the grave high crimes of Treason and Sedition as they operate this interstate racket.

PREREQUISITIES OF FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS

Describing what's necessary in a court case fills books in a library. It's out of scope to talk about all of that, and instead I'm going to focus on four key aspects, describe them, and discuss how they're all missing from the COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA and connected DOMESTIC RELATIONS SECTION. The four key aspects of Fundamental Fairness are

- Federal Supremacy
- Due Notice
- Substantive and Procedural Due Process of Law
- Facial and As-applied Equal Protection under the Law

FEDERAL SUPREMACY

Article VI Clause 2: This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.

Municipal judges at the COURT OF COMMON PLEAS like to pretend that the statutes housed in Title 23: Domestic Relations Section of the consolidated statutes of Pennsylvania are the supreme law of the land and the judiciary does not need to consult anything outside of those statutes to determine legal process in the municipal courts. To the contrary, the Federal Constitution, to which the state and their political subdivisions are bound, makes the municipal courts and the state statutes subservient

to the Federal Constitution. These principles go back over 200 years with cases like *Marbury v Madison* (1803) denoting that “A Law repugnant to the Constitution is void.”

So, the municipal court likes to ignore the constitution and simply operates off of state statutes, but doing so is repugnant to the Federal Constitution. The municipal courts are required by Federal Supremacy to follow the standards set by the US SUPREME COURT and the municipal courts are not at liberty to ignore binding U. S. Supreme Court Case Law.

As such, the components of Fundamental Fairness like Due Notice, Substantive and Procedural Due Process, and Equal Protection aren't voluntary polite suggestions but instead represent unavoidable Federal Requirements under the Supremacy Clause which bind the municipal judiciary with various restrictions especially when fundamental liberties of litigants are involved.

In my personal experience I'll note that in the Signed Confession of treason, sedition, 18 USC 242 and other crimes by WPM he does not lawfully consider Fundamental Fairness, Due Notice, Substantive and Procedural Due Process of Law, nor Equal Protection of the Law despite my repeated attempts to inform him of his obligation under Federal Supremacy. Regarding him specifically, he had the gall to share on record seven separate times that he's indifferent to my complaints regarding him repeatedly violating my constitutional rights. I'm convinced that not a single order that I've received in five years in the various matters related to my high conflict divorce adjudication is a lawful order compliant with Federal Supremacy and Fundamental Fairness across at least three matters and at least six judges. This is not merely an accident or coincidence.

DUE NOTICE

The requirements of Due Notice mostly come out of a combination of the 5th and 14th Amendment. At a high level the Supreme Court has succinctly defined Due Notice in case law.

In *Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.*, 339 U.S. 306 (1950) the Court held that due process demands “notice reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise interested parties of the pendency of the action and afford them an opportunity to present their objections.”

It has also been described as the opportunity to be heard “at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner” in *Armstrong v. Manzo*, 380 U.S. 545 (1965).

This requirement is especially important where deprivations by the state are going to occur, and part of the meaningful time in a meaningful manner requires the state to

provide pre-deprivation hearings before doing things like seizing property. “This Court consistently has held that some form of hearing is required before an individual is finally deprived of a property interest.” *Mathews v. Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 319 (1976).

As one example of failure of Due Notice in my own personal experience I’ve found that DRS will issue an order for asset seizure signed “per curiam”, provide an unlawfully signed notice of asset seizure by “per curiam” with a period of time to dispute it, disputes are rubber stamped as disapproved, no meaningful hearing on the matter actually occurs, and the municipal debt collection and disbursement agency known as Lancaster DRS then seizes property repeatedly without lawful and proper Due Notice which by binding US Supreme Court case law requires a pre-deprivation hearing (not just notice). This has happened to me no less than four separate times and this loop of unlawful judgement, notice, delay/denial, and seizure is one class of action that the Lancaster DRS will repeatedly do without proper, legal, lawful, and constitutional Due Notice.

SUBSTANTIVE AND PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS OF LAW

Due Process of law is quoted directly in the 14th amendment, but two critical components - Substantive and Procedural Due Process - come from case law.

Substantive Due Process

The earliest discussion of Substantive Due Process appears to come before the 14th amendment was even allegedly ratified and this first mention is via the *Dred Scott v. Sandford*, 60 U.S. 393 (1857) case wherein “an act of Congress which deprives a citizen of the United States of his liberty or property, merely because he came himself or brought his property into a particular Territory of the United States, and who had committed no offense against the laws, could hardly be dignified with the name of due process of law.” Other seminal and foundational cases are *Allgeyer v. Louisiana* (1897), *Griswold v. Connecticut* (1965), *Washington v Glucksberg* (1997), and *Troxel V Granville* (2000). Essentially these cases form the idea that there are enumerated rights in the bill of rights as well as unenumerated rights that are “implicit in the concept of ordered liberty” wherein the state must apply extra precautions when abridging those rights. The extra precautions are various protections housed under the category of Procedural Due Process. Again, this isn’t voluntary, and instead these procedural safeguards are required under Federal Supremacy as described under the umbrella of the 14th Amendment.

The most well regarded quote on the matter is from a dissenting opinion, which has since been incorporated into later Supreme Court Majority Opinions and is now considered binding-

". . . [T]he full scope of the liberty guaranteed by the Due Process Clause cannot be found in or limited by the precise terms of the specific guarantees elsewhere provided in the Constitution. This 'liberty' is not a series of isolated points pricked out in terms of the taking of property; the freedom of speech, press, and religion; the right to keep and bear arms; the freedom from unreasonable searches and seizures; and so on. It is a rational continuum which, broadly speaking, includes a freedom from all substantial arbitrary impositions and purposeless restraints, . . . and which also recognizes what a reasonable and sensitive judgment must, that certain interests require particularly careful scrutiny of the state needs asserted to justify their abridgment." *Poe v. Ullman*, supra at 367 U. S. 542-543 (dissenting opinion).

In addition to various Substantive Rights that have been defined in US SUPREME COURT case law opinions there are also Substantive Rights that are defined in State Case Law. Things like support orders cannot be "oppressive" or "confiscatory" are defined in state case law, and mark specific substantive rights afforded to Pennsylvanians by Pennsylvanian binding case law (as opposed to Federal binding case law).

Specific Substantive Rights

There are three Substantive Rights I want to mention in particular as these are the most pertinent to family law-

- 14th Amendment Section 1 Right to Due Process of Law
- Fundamental Liberty to Care, Custody, and Control Children (*Troxel V Granville* (2000))
- Fundamental Liberty to Acquire, Possess, and Protect Property (*Vanhorne's Lessee v. Dorrance*, 2 U.S. 304 (1795))

The Lancaster County Court along with the connected DRS deprives litigants of their 14th Amendment right to Due Process of Law and Equal Protection as a starting point. So, before even considering whether the matter is housed in custody or a support matter the constitutional failure by the judiciary creates a civil rights conflict and new legal matter superseding whatever other matter a case happens to be housed in (custody, support, divorce, etc). While the court creates a civil rights matter/conflict via the deprivation of 14th amendment guaranteed due process of law, there is a separate deprivation of fundamental liberty interests in the Care, Custody, and Control of their Children in Custody matters and the Fundamental Liberty to Acquire, Possess, and Protect Property in their Divorce and Support matters.

Sorry to hammer this home, but respecting Substantive Rights and Due Notice isn't a voluntary or opt-in aspect of law decided in Municipal courts, but is instead a requirement under Federal Supremacy and the umbrella of the 14th Amendment.

Procedural Due Process

The Supreme Court has defined aspects of Procedural Due Process of Law in the Mathews v Eldridge (1976) decision. Inside of that decision is what's known as the "Mathews Balancing Test" where judges apply a three pronged test.

More precisely, our prior decisions indicate that identification of the specific dictates of due process generally requires consideration of three distinct factors: first, the private interest that will be affected by the official action; second, the risk of an erroneous deprivation of such interest through the procedures used, and the probable value, if any, of additional or substitute procedural safeguards; and, finally, the Government's interest, including the function involved and the fiscal and administrative burdens that the additional or substitute procedural requirement would entail."

There are a variety of legal aspects a judge must consider while applying the Mathews Balancing Test, especially if a judge determines they are abridging a fundamental liberty interest with inherent substantive rights. The following is not exhaustive, but provides some of the most pertinent requirements of Procedural Due Process of Law when abridging fundamental liberties-

- Presumptions
- Burden of Proof
- Evidence Standard
- State Interest
- Scrutiny Standard

From my personal experience covering five years of high conflict family law in Lancaster County the Court does not apply a Mathews Balancing Test prior or during any decision it makes and does not consider presumptions, burden of proof, evidence standards, or scrutiny standards when making decisions and issuing orders. Again, these aren't optional or voluntary steps/considerations to ignore in municipal court at the whim or convenience of municipal judges. They're required aspects of law because of Federal Supremacy and housed in the umbrella of the 14th Amendment.

Presumptions

If there's no evidence that a parent physically or sexually abuses their children, and there's no evidence that there's a level of neglect that shows a parent is putting their child in a clear and present danger for lack of nutrition, supervision, or evidence of

immediate harm from their physical surroundings then a parent is considered a Fit Parent. Fitness is an incredibly low bar for parents. The standard likely even extends to parents that encroach those unsafe conditions, but despite some level of parental failure are correctively attempting to be better. It's not a one and done scenario, but there's a spectrum and even falling on the bad side of the spectrum for months may not be considered enough to declare a parent unfit and to the contrary a parent needs to display unfitnesses for a period of time like many months or longer before states can declare them unfit.

Now, assuming there is no evidence that a parent is unfit there are two custody specific presumptions that are applied by constitutional operation of law. Fit parents are assumed to be working in the best interest of their children and that presumption needs to be afforded a special weight (Troxel). The second involves State Interest in a matter.

State Interest

If and when the state wants to abridge a fundamental liberty interest they may only do so if there's a "Compelling state interest." I'm reminding you of this quote from *Poe v. Ullman*- "that certain interests require particularly careful scrutiny of the state needs asserted to justify their abridgment." The state has a right to abridge fundamental liberties under its Police Power or as *Parens Patriae*, but only if there's enough of a state interest to justify the abridgement. And the amount of interest required is proportional to the importance of the Liberty being abridged. The more important and fundamental a liberty is then the degree of State Interest necessary to abridge that Liberty must be higher and more dire to match. However; it's important to note that for custody matters specifically there's already a standard presumption that works against the state. When fit parents are involved in a matter the State Interest is "presumed to be *de minimus*" (Quilloin quoting *Santusky*).

This now presents an essentially insurmountable problem for the state regarding Fit Parents in that in order for the state to abridge a fundamental liberty interest of a litigant it needs a Compelling State Interest, but as long as the litigant is a parent that meets an extremely low bar to fitness then the parent is deemed fit and when a Fit Parent is involved the state's interest is federally set by way of US Supreme Court case law as "*de minimus*." Thus, when Fit Parents are involved in custody matters there isn't a Compelling State Interest that warrants abridging the Fundamental Liberty. So, the state is, for all practical purposes, barred from issuing a Form of Custody from 23 PaCSA 5323 other than shared legal and physical custody when Fit Parents are involved.

That doesn't mean the state is entirely absent from the ability to adjudicate Form of Custody or logistical matters of custody. The state may interfere with unfit parents

regarding the Form of Custody. The state may lawfully decide logistics of custody for Fit Parents. Examples include scenarios questioning if the parents live in two separate towns which public school would a child go to, on a static schedule who gets Wednesday, anything to do with secular holiday rotation, and other logistical concerns that do not touch fundamental liberty interests of the parents.

Burden of Proof

If a parent wants to change something in a custody order it's important to note that the burden of proof is on the parent attempting to alter the custody order. In five years of family law I have not seen the term "burden of proof" in any order issued by the Lancaster CCP in any of my related matters.

Standard of Proof

When deciding what standard of proof to use a judge needs to determine the nature of the right being abridged. If parents are arguing over physical/legal custody then the right being abridged is a Fundamental Liberty Interest. When abridging Fundamental Liberty Interests the Standard of Proof is set to Clear and Convincing evidence. When the matters are logistical aspects of custody a rational basis will suffice. Similar to the above section in five years of legal matters in Lancaster I haven't once seen them write "Standard of Proof" in an order or even discussed in a hearing. Similar to everything else in this section the Lancaster judiciary is intentionally side stepping Constitutionally required Federal Supremacy. There is no lawful way to ignore these constitutional considerations.

Scrutiny Standard

When deciding matters that involve Fundamental Liberty Interests the state is urged by the Federal Supreme Court to use a Strict Scrutiny standard, but the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has ruled that a Strict Scrutiny standard is required.

This Strict Scrutiny standard forces the court to consider three critical components of laws and/or judgments -

- Serves a Compelling State Interest: The government must show that the law is necessary to achieve an interest that is not only legitimate but also crucial or vital. This interest must be of the highest order.
- Is Narrowly Tailored: The law must be precisely tailored to achieve the Compelling State Interest. This means the law should not be overbroad (regulating more than is necessary) or underinclusive (failing to regulate where necessary to achieve the interest). There should not be other, less restrictive ways to achieve the goal.

- Is the Least Restrictive Means: The law must be the least restrictive means of achieving the compelling interest. This means the government must demonstrate that there are no other alternatives that would achieve the Compelling State Interest with a lesser impact on the protected right.

Here are some key cases that have helped to define and establish the strict scrutiny standard: *Korematsu v. United States*, 323 U.S. 214 (1944), *Loving v. Virginia*, 388 U.S. 1 (1967), *Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Peña*, 515 U.S. 200 (1995), *Grutter v. Bollinger*, 539 U.S. 306 (2003), *Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc V City of Hialeah* (1993).

As mentioned in the sections above, in my five years of high conflict divorce adjudication via the related matters with a variety of judges I have not seen or heard them mention once a Strict Scrutiny Standard.

Ultimately the state is in an uncomfortable position in that all of the above requirements of Substantive and Procedural Due Process of Law are not voluntary or opt-in aspects of constitutional adjudication. Federal Supremacy requires states to impose Due Process of Law. Due Process isn't an optional aspect left up to the municipal judiciary to implement it on days where they feel like it. The purposeful lack of providing Due Process of Law is an unfathomably monumental, unconstitutional decision by the judiciary operating in Lancaster County that covertly, directly, intentionally, and deliberately opposes Federal Supremacy.

FACIAL AND AS-APPLIED EQUAL PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW

The final missing category of Fundamental Fairness is Facial and As-Applied Equal protection under the law. The point is to prevent "invidious discrimination" by ensuring that similarly situated litigants are afforded the same protection.

The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment mandates that no state shall deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws. This is not a polite suggestion—it is a binding Federal requirement that forms the cornerstone of Fundamental Fairness. The principle means that similarly situated individuals must be treated alike under the law. Where the state introduces arbitrary discrimination or disparate treatment without a legitimate purpose, it violates this Clause and thereby voids its own actions. If the judiciary ignores this requirement completely they war with the constitution via Federal Supremacy, which leaves no room to ignore Equal Protection.

The U.S. Supreme Court has repeatedly articulated that Equal Protection is more than formal equality—it is a substantive guarantee of justice. See *Yick Wo v. Hopkins*, 118 U.S. 356 (1886), which held that even a facially neutral law violates the Equal Protection Clause when administered "with an evil eye and an unequal hand." Similarly, in *Bush v.*

Gore, 531 U.S. 98 (2000), the Court reaffirmed that equal protection demands consistent application of the law across cases, not arbitrary or biased discretion by officials.

In the COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LANCASTER COUNTY, and Lancaster DRS the deprivation of Equal Protection manifests through predictable bias against pro se litigants, gender-based presumptions, and selective enforcement of procedure. While certain litigants are afforded broad leniency, others are penalized for the same procedural acts. **Similarly, custody and support matters reveal a clear pattern of favoring the party whose position aligns with maximizing Title IV-D reimbursement potential, rather than weighing the case based on which litigant presents the strongest case. Purposefully settling cases based on pecuniary interests of the County/Judiciary sets them as biased and partial parties to the outcome rather than neutral arbiters, which is another requirement of Fundamental Fairness.** This deliberate and repeated deviation from equal treatment is a constitutional abomination, and one that speaks not of judicial error, but of calculated racketeering intent and outrageously rigging outcomes in favor of pecuniary interests of the County and the Judiciary.

These systematic inequities violate not only the Fourteenth Amendment, but also 42 U.S.C. §1983, 18 U.S.C. §241 (Conspiracy Against Rights), and 18 U.S.C. §242 (Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law). The presiding officers—acting under color of law—conspired to deprive citizens of equal treatment and lawful adjudication. Each act of deprivation, each covert deliberate omission of fairness, compounds into a racketeering pattern that squarely falls within the definition of 18 U.S.C. §§1961–1968 (RICO).

AS APPLIED EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW IN CUSTODY MATTERS

In the realm of custody disputes I see at least two other groups that could be considered similarly situated as me in regards to the change in custody mentioned in WPM's signed confession/ Final Custody Order. Other classifications of litigants worthy of comparison regarding equal protection under the law are intact families and adoptive families.

Regarding intact families I see discrimination applied because the state was essentially forbidden to compel intact families composed of fit parents to give up partial custodial time to grandparents. It follows that the state would be forbidden from more extreme measures against intact families composed of fit parents like stripping them entirely of custody. However; the state routinely deprives separated/divorcing families composed of Fit Parents of legal and physical custody. That's discrimination.

Regarding adoptive families the state sets rigorous standards for the abridgement of parental rights of adoptive families via 23 PaCSA 2511. Here, to permanently terminate

an adoptive parents right the state has a substantial burden. The state essentially has to prove parental unfitness via 6 months or more of failing or refusing to perform parental duties, repeated and continued incapacity, abuse neglect or refusal of the parent which has caused the child to be without essential prenatal care, control or subsistence necessary for his physical or mental well-being, and the conditions and causes of the incapacity, abuse, neglect, or refusal cannot or will not be remedied by the parent. They also have to prove it by clear and convincing evidence and neither rational basis, "gut feeling," "vibes," nor "instinct" are sufficient to determine parental unfitness. The state is extending protections to adoptive families they do not extend to separated/divorcing families and that's discrimination.

The state will likely argue that "hey, 5323 is talking about termination which is different than this case where the rights aren't terminated but simply abridged," but that's a meaningless debate because Substantive Rights don't kick in from termination but instead kick in from abridgement. If the state sets high standards for abridgement of parental rights in adoptive parent statutes/cases then it follows that the same standards prior to abridgement of divorcing or separated families, who likely have years more direct connection with a child than an adoptive family, must apply and is a prerequisite to abridging the parental rights of a divorcing/separated parent.

FACIAL EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW IN CUSTODY MATTERS

In custody matters I also see that the laws are facially invalid as the state offers a 1-step process regarding 23 PaCSA 5328 rather than a 2-step process. When the state navigates custody via a 1-step process it bundles custody decisions that abridge Fundamental Liberty Interests at the same time it considers logistical matters of custody that do not abridge Fundamental Liberty Interests and applies the same level (none) of constitutional consideration to both Fundamental Liberty abridgement and logistical decisionmaking.

In a 2-step process to consider custody matters the state can, with negligible burden, provide for an initial step to consider custody matters like the Form of Custody listed in 5323 which abridge Fundamental Liberty Interests if anything other than shared custody is applied and then have a second step for considering more mundane aspects of the logistics of custody where Fundamental Liberties are not abridged.

Lancaster CCP custody matters fail constitutional requirements of Facial Equal Protection under the law when applying 23 PaCSA 5328 in a single step without consideration of the abridged fundamental parental liberty to Care, Custody, and Control children.

AS APPLIED EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW IN SUPPORT MATTERS

Regarding Support matters there is often unequal treatment between the spouses. The vast majority of support orders go against men.

In my own support matter I've been making less money than my spouse for nearly two years and the state has refused to switch the polarity of support such that she has to pay me.

We've arrived at this scenario because various judicial officials will purposefully disallow information from hitting the record that would indicate a lower amount earned or earning capacity. Again, these actions align with maximizing TITLE IV-D expense repayment and matching distributions for municipal child support collection rather than neutral arbitration.

TITLE IVD v NON-TITLE IV-D CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT FRAMEWORKS

There are two fundamentally different child-support enforcement frameworks in the United States: Title IV-D and Non-Title IV-D.

Title IV-D is a Federally Funded Enforcement Machine operating by nested layers of secretive contractual agreements between Federal, State, County, Judicial Entity(ies), and ultimately individual judges. Title IV-D of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. § 651 et seq.) created a uniform federal-state partnership for child-support enforcement. Federal to State... that's interstate, and an important consideration for later.

States agree to administer the program according to federal rules in exchange for federal matching funds and performance incentives. Every dollar collected or disbursed under Title IV-D is tied to those funding streams. In other words, every action taken under Title IV-D — from an “administrative order” to a wage garnishment — is part of a contract between the federal government and the state agency. It's not purely judicial. The orders themselves are housed in nested layers of intergovernmental commercial contracts.

That means:

- The obligor is treated as a federal debtor, not a party to a state-court dispute.
- The state agency operates as a contractor, not a neutral government actor or tribunal.
- The court often acts as a collection agent or “revenue commissioner,” rather than an independent tribunal.

The money flow starts with the federal government and then works its way down to the state, then to the counties, then to the judiciary, and then to judges.

- Federal HHS has a cooperative agreement with the state HHS
- State HHS has an IGA with the county
- The County has an agreement with the judicial entity
- The Judicial Entity has an agreement with individual judges.

Like I said, this is less of a judicial matter and more of a non-judicial federal contract enforcement mechanism. However; one of the key criteria of the IGA is that the litigants that are brought into this secretive nested contractual framework **still maintain their constitutional right to due process** and that's written right in the contract.

The Supreme Court has long recognized that when states administer federal programs, their conduct is subject to federal oversight and federal remedies (see *Blessing v. Freestone*, 520 U.S. 329 (1997); *Gonzaga v. Doe*, 536 U.S. 273 (2002)).

Conversely, Non-Title IV-D child support frameworks are either Private or Court Controlled Support frameworks. These cases, by contrast, are purely judicial. They involve no federal contract, no federal incentive money, and no agency enforcement. Support is paid directly between the parents or through a county clerk rather than the Pennsylvania State Disbursement Unit. There are no federal matching funds covering expenses nor are there up to 66 cents on the dollar of federal funds provided for child support collected.

These cases remain within the judicial branch proper- governed by state domestic-relations statutes and state court rules as opposed to federal administrative funding conditions.

When a case is brought into Title IV-D, where Federal HHS is contractually obligated to send millions of dollars throughout the state everything changes:

- Due process is unlawfully bypassed
- Jurisdiction shifts improperly from judicial to administrative law
- Federal money starts flowing into what was supposed to be a purely state matter,
- And crucially, the entire financial chain becomes discoverable under FOIA and UCC.

That's why the Intergovernmental Agreements, IGAs, matter so much. They are the contracts that transform a state court into a federal collection subcontractor. Once those contracts are exposed the illusion of "state-only authority" collapses. Once there's financial incentive for the County and the judiciary to generate asymmetric results between the parents to maximize Federal Reimbursement mechanisms there appears a motive to stop operating constitutionally and start operating in a way to get absurd amounts of Federal Expense repayment and matching federal dollars for child support collected.

And sorry to repeat myself, but to be clear, Equal Protection under the Law isn't a voluntary or opt-in legal standard that the municipal judiciary has the option to incorporate into their local jurisprudence. They don't get to circumvent the Federal Constitution just because they are part of a secretive series of interstate contractual agreements between Federal and State entities. Federal Supremacy still applies. Fundamental Fairness is still a requirement. Equal Protection is a mandatory standard for Lancaster County Jurisprudence and the clear decision to unconstitutionally deprive every or nearly every litigant in the county of its application is a deliberate war against the Constitution and furthers the unlawful outcomes of the racketeering enterprise looking to maximize interstate intergovernmental agency funding.

WHAT WOULD LAWFUL AND CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS LOOK LIKE FOR CUSTODY?

Frankly speaking, the court has no subject matter jurisdiction to interfere with my Fundamental Liberties as a Fit Parent. In my particular case I have positive evidence I'm a Fit Parent from a 2021 psychological evaluation I undertook voluntarily. I also have a statement by serial felon WPM masquerading as a municipal judge where he himself declares I meet the low bar definition of a Fit Parent while he simultaneously unlawfully and unconstitutionally strips me of shared legal and physical custody right after depriving me of my civil rights to Fundamental Fairness under the 14th amendment. To wit, he wrote in the October 2025 Final Custody Order aka Signed Confession of Treason, Sedition, and 18 USC 242:

“2.1 There is no evidence of child abuse by either party or involvement of protective services

2.2 Other than paragraphs 1 and 2 [neither of which merit parental unfitness], there is no evidence of physically assaultive behavior by either party.”

So, while I acknowledge that Lancaster CCP may have subject matter jurisdiction to assign the Form of Custody in 23 PaCSA 5323 they do not have the subject matter jurisdiction to assign the Form of Custody in 23 PaCSA 5323 in my matters because both the psychiatrist and treasonous, despotic tyrant WPM make statements that infer or declare that I'm a Fit Parent. This causes a cascade of constitutional protections that bar the court from assigning a Form of Custody from 23 PaCSA 5323 other than shared physical custody and shared legal custody.

Thus, a lawful court operating under Federal Supremacy is extremely limited in its opportunities to apply Forms of Custody that aren't shared legal or physical custody as every other option is precluded from families composed of fit parents.

So, what went wrong?

My point is **not** that the state has zero means of subject matter jurisdiction to abridge fundamental liberties, but that in order to abridge them there's only a tiny keyhole wherein it's legal, lawful, and constitutional to do so. WPM did not simply exceed his jurisdiction, but acted completely outside of it when he decided that I don't get constitutional rights. He is not at liberty to make that decision. He is required under Federal Supremacy and the 14th Amendment to respect my rights to Fundamental Fairness.

He personally deprived me of 14th amendment Fundamental Fairness and further exceeded his jurisdiction when while depriving me of my civil rights he separately assigned a Form of Custody from 23 PaCSA that was not shared physical custody and shared legal custody while admitting that I met the low bar criteria of a Fit Parent. He did this while I provided girthy tomes of Due Notice on the record and slid them into a variety of formats to clarify in as many conceivable ways as possible that he was violating my rights. While I was providing said notice he was telling me seven separate times he was deliberately indifferent. That's not legal, lawful, constitutional, or advisable. That's game over.

Let's beat this horse one more time... The argument goes something like-

I have the fundamental rights to -

- 14th amendment protection from the state composed of Due Process of Law and Equal Protection
- Liberty Interest in the Care, Custody, and Control of my Children
- Liberty Interest to Acquire, Possess, and Protect Property

In the custody matters WPM deprived me of my 14th amendment protection beyond his jurisdiction to do so. So, the state generates a constitutional civil rights matter inside of other matters by way of depriving litigants of their 14th Amendment protections. To wit,

- He failed to provide all aspects of Due Notice in his June 2025 Temporary Custody order,
- He failed to provide the core aspects of Due Notice in the October 2025 Full Custody Order,
- He failed to provide a meaningful hearing as he already made up his mind and was using the show trial simply as a way to enforce his pre-decided approach,
- He failed to provide a neutral tribunal as he's literally attacking me with ad hominem, and blatantly lying in the order he issued,

- He failed to provide a Mathews Balancing Test in every custody order that he's issued,
- He has abridged my parental liberty without regard to my substantive rights,
- He has not provided the procedural safeguards resulting from his abridgement of substantive rights,
- He has not provided facial or as-applied equal protection under the law,
- I have provided short pithy statements regarding my rights and I have also provided him hundreds of pages of legal brief by way of affidavit to define those rights in detail,
- He has ignored those at his own peril,
- He has instead stated his deliberate indifference on the record seven separate times.

To be clear, the above is a civil rights matter housed in a custody case. Before even considering the implication of custody we have to see that the things he's doing are violating my 14th amendment rights to Due Process of Law. While abridging my 14th amendment rights to Fundamental Fairness he's subsequently violating a second and separate aspect of law. He's depriving me my 14th amendment rights by abridging a fundamental liberty interest without proper constitutional considerations. Then when looking at the details further we realize the abridgement involves my fundamental parental liberties and he's abridging those outside of his jurisdiction as well.

He, or a judge that doesn't want to get civility sued into Federal oblivion or risk grave treason charges sticking to his/her person must start by recognizing Federal Supremacy, that I have a Federally Protected 14th Amendment Constitutional right to Due Process of Law and Equal Protection. He needs to provide me with a meaningful hearing at a meaningful time. He needs to perform a Mathews Balancing Test, determine the nature of the rights abridged, and if the abridged rights are fundamental liberty interests he needs to provide procedural safeguards to ensure erroneous deprivations do not occur and he needs to investigate equal protection to ensure that I'm treated similarly to similarly situated litigants.

He doesn't do that. He doesn't provide Fundamental Fairness, and he refuses to provide it while I'm documenting every single aspect that he's missing, giving notice to him that he's violating my rights, and he's openly, brazenly, and frequently declaring his deliberate indifference. While Bill is one of the worst offenders I've encountered to date I'm confident that every single order issued by every single judge in my five years of high conflict divorce matters at the Lancaster CCP lack constitutional considerations and are devoid of Fundamental Fairness. **It's not accidental. It's institutional.** This isn't isolated to me either. The court seems incapable of issuing a constitutionally valid order in any matter by any judge against any litigant.

In his particular case Bill fails at every single step of constitutional requirements. This is astounding as Bill has 26 years of service on the bench. He was hand selected by the Chief Justice of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court. After deeply researching this topic the extent to which the Lancaster Judiciary goes to consistently ignore massive parts of required constitutional considerations is nothing short of mind boggling.

Starting at the top- he needs to focus on providing a meaningful hearing and not one asymmetrically biased against me where he's dutifully not listening to me, always agreeing with my Wife, and allowing different levels of conversation wherein she's allowed to talk about the most contentious time periods of our marriage, but I'm blocked from speaking about them. In the order he's blatantly and idiotically lying about me like when he says I didn't participate in family therapy when there's a SIX MONTH record of me attending family therapy with my kids, he's attacking me with ad hominem, and asserting inane arguments in favor of my wife. That doesn't bode well for a "meaningful" hearing, which is why I called it a "show trial" in my motions in advance of it because it was so clear he had already come to these conclusions and was simply using the hearing as a means to collect evidence in support of what he already wanted to do. That's not neutral arbitration, which is also a foundational aspect and prerequisite of Fundamental Fairness.

Beyond not providing a meaningful hearing he has to realize that I have a fundamental parental liberty. He can lawfully abridge that liberty, but he has to obey federal supremacy, federal and state binding case law, and then he has to provide me with constitutional rights as prerequisites to the abridgement of my fundamental liberty..

So, if he or anyone else wants to abridge my fundamental liberty to care, custody, and control my children, he has to apply a Mathews balancing test, realize that he's abridging a fundamental liberty and thus realize that I have substantive rights requiring procedural safeguards. Those safeguards are composed in part of the following categories: presumptions, burden of proof, standard of proof, scrutiny standard, and state interest level. There are likely other requirements of Procedural Due Process as I'm but a layman at law, but even just the lack of those aspects I'm reporting are completely damning towards any defense that the Lancaster CCP, DRS, or County is providing constitutionally required Fundamental Fairness as per the 14th amendment.

DOES ANY OF THIS APPLY TO SUPPORT?

Yep, the Lancaster CCP and Lancaster DRS are violating constitutional law here too. It's taken me a while to wrap my head around this, but just like the state is barred from assigning a Form of Custody from 23 PaCSA 5323 that is something other than shared physical custody and/or shared legal custody when Fit Parents are involved they are similarly constitutionally barred from demanding support payments from Fit Parents.

Assigning support payments to Fit Parents is really the underlying trickery of this whole racketeering system. Statutorily, things tend to look pretty good and there's no statutory requirement of parental fitness. That's why this is hard to uncover as a massive racketeering enterprise. The omission is found through 150 years of Federal Case Law. So, while statutorily there doesn't appear to be any violations; Constitutionally the entire process is a trainwreck and an unceremoniously buried mass grave of Due Process Violations.

Once again I have a right via the 14th amendment to Due Process of Law and Equal Protection under the law. The Cook County IGA even states this verbatim. So, the state HHS and Lancaster County likely have a contractual obligation in their version of the IGA to protect 14th Amendment Due Process of Law for litigants in the Lancaster CCP.

Beyond 14th amendment rights to Due Process of Law I have a right to parental liberty, and I have a right to acquire, possess, and protect property. I also have religious freedom and freedom of speech. These are well established in law.

If the Lancaster CCP and DRS want to deprive me of property they can't simply say "he's an obligor now" as part of some nested secretive federal-state-county-judiciary-judge series of contracts. Just because the statute says that you just sign a person up doesn't mean you can sign someone up without constitutional considerations.

When you garnish my pay, freeze my property, and/or seize my property you're abridging fundamental liberties and the same set of Fundamental Fairness criteria has to apply here. I have 14th amendment protections to Due Process of Law, Equal Protection, and then you have to address the individual rights that are being impacted and determine if the state is abridging Fundamental Liberties and doing so without a compelling State Interest.

Every aspect of how this court and county are operating defies constitutional considerations. These aren't optional. These are mandatory under Federal Supremacy-

- The state is depriving me of 14th amendment fundamental fairness-
- It's not abiding by federal supremacy
- It's assigning obligor status without a meaningful hearing at a meaningful time,
- It's depriving property without pre-deprivation hearings,
- It's denying evidence that lowers earning or earning capacity,
- It's issuing orders either without signatures or with unlawfully applied "per curiam"
- It's ignoring that fundamental liberties are being abridged and failing to provide Mathews Balancing Tests,

- It's not taking into account Burden of Proof,
- It's not taking into account Standard of Proof,
- It's not considering Presumptions that favor the litigant or work against the State Interest,
- It's not discussing State Interests,
- It's not discussing Strict Scrutiny,
- It's not applying Strict Scrutiny.

When the order for support is issued anyway it's infringing on several Fundamental Liberties. First it's infringing on the 14th Amendment right to Fundamental Fairness. Then it's abridging my Fundamental Parental Liberty. When you force me to give money to my partner you abridge my ability to care and control my children. Money is speech, so when you're handing my money to my spouse you're abridging my freedom of speech and extenuating hers. You're potentially abridging Freedom of Religion as that Speech carries into Religious contexts, especially in my house, but for others as well. The state needs a Compelling Interest to abridge Fundamental Liberties, but when I'm a Fit parent the State Interest is already assigned as di minimus and thus there's not a sufficient Compelling State Interest to support the abridgement.

That same rough argument applies to my fundamental liberty to acquire, possess, and protect property and also to my first amendment freedom of religion. When the state abridges my right to possess property, they abridge a Fundamental Liberty, I get procedural protections, standards have to apply, and those standards don't hold up when the parent in question is a Fit Parent. The result is that applying statutory rules regarding child support to Fit Parents may meet statutory requirements of the state, but universally fail to meet the constitutional prerequisites that would make the support order constitutional.

And one more time for the kids in the back of the classroom, 14th amendment Due Process of Law isn't optional at the municipal level. It's required under Federal Supremacy and when it's covertly omitted in practically every manner in practically every case then that's evidence the Lancaster judiciary is purposefully warring with the Constitution. That's disastrous and completely damning to the orchestrators of this catastrophe.

CHIEF GRIEVANCE SUMMARY

As a PhD it is my nature that I tend to the verbose, go for potentially unnecessary historic accuracy, and attempt a professor like presentation to teach the controversy, however; I understand it to be important to summarize my chief grievance in the simplest and shortest words possible such that you and your co-conspirators can

neither twist them nor feign confusion. So, once again I'm into the breach to attempt a pithy summary of the above complaint before delving into the specifics- To wit,

"I have constitutional rights and Fundamental Liberties, and though the state has limited opportunity to abridge those rights lawfully it must do so while acting in the abundance of caution regarding constitutional considerations, however; in the instant matters, the state, via the judiciary, has abridged my rights while wilfully, purposefully, and covertly omitting the necessary constitutional criteria to do so lawfully, and thus the judiciary covertly commits criminal acts against me and my family by way of both omission and commission due-to and despite my numerous attempts to notify them of my constitutional rights, which are outside of their subject matter jurisdiction. As a victim of those crimes me and my family suffer predictable, irreparable harm as a direct and proximate result. Such a violent abridgement of foundational aspects of American Jurisprudence, Fundamental Fairness, and the Federal and State Constitutions not only represent harm to me personally but seismically violate the peace and dignity of Pennsylvania to such a degree as to shock the senses and upend harmonious tranquility."

CHIEF CONSEQUENCES OF THESE ACTIONS

The judiciary is conspiring with the county to intentionally and deliberately issue unconstitutional orders, purposefully violating constitutional rights, and as a consequence are committing treason, sedition, and those that know but don't stop it are committing misprision of treason. U.S v. Will, 449 U.S. 200, 216, 101 S.Ct. 471, 66 L.Ed. 2d 392, 406 (1980) Cohens v. Virginia, 19 U.S. (6 Wheat) 264, 404, 5 L.Ed 257 (1821).

The cooperation (conspiracy) of the County, DRS, and the Judiciary who are utilizing purposefully and deliberately drafted unconstitutional custody and support orders to generate fraudulent obligations and then demand federal matching funds and expense reimbursement for those fraudulent and unconstitutional orders are in the business of racketeering. The unconstitutional custody orders that facilitate asymmetric forms of custody to allow higher amounts of support by way of pecuniary calculations and thus higher amounts of fraudulent remittance by Federal funds by way of Title IV-D SSA as administered by Federal HHS to PA HHS. This helps explain why high income earners are over represented in asymmetric custody orders wherein the one who earns more consistently receives less custody. It also means that this scheme crosses State boundaries and is not isolated solely within Pennsylvania, opening possible criminal jurisdiction beyond Pennsylvania agencies.

While operating this racketeering enterprise by way of purposefully and deliberately issued unconstitutional orders Lancaster County, Lancaster DRS, and the Lancaster

CCP break a myriad of other laws with major ones being things like two forms of wire fraud (from litigant to PaSDU and State HHS to Lancaster County), mail fraud, securities fraud, money laundering, and negotiable instrument fraud. And again, it's not just confined to the geographic boundaries of Pennsylvania.

Oh, and bad news for you (Heather) is that you're refusing to prosecute this despotic treason despite years of notices and pages of warnings describing it in depth. Your consistency in the dereliction of duty of your post is of extreme magnitude. I'm accusing you of Conspiracy and Treason as well.

Bad news for Thomas Ost-Prisco and David Sunday from the Attorney General's office is that they chose to actively witness intimidate me for previous reports of these crimes rather than address the crimes themselves. So, I'm specifically charging them with conspiracy and treason charges as well even though they're not specifically part of Lancaster County/ Lancaster DRS/ Lancaster CCP racketeering enterprise.

AFFIDAVIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE FORMALITIES

I, with a Surname of Reich and a Christian name of Blair Jesse Ellyn, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that the facts set forth in this affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief and are based on my first hand personal knowledge and experience as well as my deep review of relevant subject matter material. I am of the age of majority, I am of sound mind, and have been found fit for trial by a competent Pennsylvania based psychiatrist in 2021.

This affidavit is submitted to establish the probable cause for the arrest and prosecution of the serial felon masquerading as Retired Senior Judge William P Mahon (Hereinafter "Bill" while in his personal capacity or Retired Senior Judge William P Mahon while in his judicial capacity) a retired senior judge from Chester County acting by way of direct appointment in Lancaster County by Pennsylvania Supreme Court Chief Justice and co-conspirator Debra Todd to adjudicate Reich v Reich family law matters in Lancaster County, and I'm also establishing probable cause against the entire bench at Lancaster CCP and against the senior officials of Lancaster County and Lancaster DRS.

Bill, in his individual capacity, has acted outside his jurisdiction as a lawfully appointed retired senior judge and delved into the land of unlawful deprivation of rights, retaliation, oppression and a myriad of crimes described herein. Bill's conduct, in his personal capacity, represents an egregious abuse of judicial power, a criminal disregard for the rule of law, tyrannical assault on fundamental rights, and a continuation by this court of unlawful deprivation of Constitutional Rights, retaliation against those who raise them to their defense, and a treasonous and seditious war against the Constitution and Constitutional Republic itself for the unlawful benefit of the Racketeering enterprise.

Bill however is not acting alone. Firstly, unlike other judges who have been placed into the Reich v Reich legal quagmire by operation of law, Bill was hand selected by Supreme Court Chief Justice Debra Todd. He is her agent. By the law of agency Debra is the one ultimately accountable for his actions, not simply because she is the Chief Justice, but more importantly because she is the one who directly authorized his appointment in 2024.

Beyond Bill choosing to act like a despotic tyrant in the absence of constitutional protections it needs to be understood that the entire simulation of law presented by the COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, and Lancaster DRS is in coordination/conspiracy with LANCASTER COUNTY. Every single judge in every single matter I've been involved with from the dozen or so traffic violations like parking tickets through the matters of divorce and custody at the courthouse or support with the Lancaster DRS have failed entirely to honor Federal Supremacy, provide me with comprehensive Due Notice, ensure my Substantive and Procedural Due Process of Law, and has not once acknowledged or considered my right to Equal Protection under the Law.

These actions by these people I allege as treasonous and seditious felons, the very people supposedly protecting the law, not only fail at their purpose, not only offend the constitution, but they intentionally, violently, covertly, and recklessly assault the constitution and the precious tenets of American Jurisprudence. I have been notifying them for five years that their actions are lawless, reckless, unconstitutional, void, and predictably and repeatedly cause irreparable harm to me and my family. They don't care. In Bill's case especially he's deliberately indifferent and reminds me of that frequently on the record.

Their actions demand the harshest possible criminal persecution to restore justice and deter such treason and despotic treachery stemming from the Lancaster County Judiciary, Lancaster DRS, and Lancaster County itself. The constitution and the Bill of Rights are blood soaked from tyrants, patriots, and innocent bystanders and the actions of these wrongdoers disgrace our historic tradition of constitutional law and our cultural heritage of a lawful and exceptional American Republic.

STATEMENT OF IMMEDIATE FACTS

1. Reich v Reich Divorce and related matters are entering their sixth year of adjudication as of October 30th, 2025 and those matters are adjudicated in the COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA and LANCASTER DRS.
2. The five-year record is ripe with judicial orders that fail to consider Fundamental Fairness and are void ab initio.

3. Frankly, every single order I've received in every single matter by every single judge who has touched them has failed to consider 14th amendment requirements of law and have violated my rights by issuing their orders in the absence of constitutional considerations, which are mandatory and not optional under Federal Supremacy.
4. On October 9th, 2025, Senior Retired Judge William P Mahon allegedly acting in his official capacity as a family law judge, issued a final custody order in the Reich V Reich Custody Matter docketed as CI-21-02607.
5. Like every order before it this order was issued in the complete absence of any consideration of constitutional requirements under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, including but not limited to
 - a. Federal Supremacy,
 - b. Due Notice,
 - c. Substantive and Procedural Due Process,
 - d. and Equal Protection as compared to similarly situated litigants and free from invidious discrimination under the laws.
6. This is a covert failure of omission and as such you'll notice within the order there is no mention of constitutional rights, due notice, mathews balancing test, substantive due process, procedural due process, or equal protection, evidence standards, burden of proof, or level of scrutiny.
7. Thus, BIII, in his personal capacity, deprived me of my 14th Amendment right to Due Process of Law.
8. This is not a lawful act of a judge. This is a crime in his personal capacity.
9. While depriving me of Constitutional Rights contained in the 14th Amendment he subsequently, separately, and additionally deprived me of my unenumerated parental fundamental Liberty to the care, custody and control of my children.
10. This can be seen in the order wherein he changes the form of custody listed in 23 PaCSA 5323 from shared legal and physical custody to sole legal and physical custody for mother.
11. In order for someone to abridge my fundamental liberty to care, custody, and control my children there needs to be a Compelling State Interest which is often summarized as parental unfitness, which amounts to evidence of abuse, sexual

abuse, or neglect to the extent it provides a clear and present danger and that evidence has to rise to the standard of clear and convincing.

12. Instead of determining my Parental Unfitness, the order states in section 2.1 and 2.2 that there is no evidence of physical or sexual abuse.
13. This infers that I meet the standards of a fit parent.
14. So, Bill is depriving me of my 14th amendment rights to due process of law and simultaneously changing the form of custody while sharing that I meet the criteria of a fit parent which would forbid that change to the Form of Custody.
15. Bill could possibly have lawfully changed the form of custody if he followed Federal Supremacy, obeyed the Federal Constitution and case law established by the United States Supreme Court, provided me Fundamental Fairness and the requirements of 14th Amendment Due Process of Law, but he intentionally ignored those requirements, deprived me first of my right to Fundamental Fairness and Due Process and then while ignoring those rights chose to additionally abridge my fundamental right to care, custody, and control my children.
16. I am confident that his action was a willful deprivation of rights because I'm somewhat of a stickler for Due Notice and attempted to inform him numerous times of the various rights I have and the requirements of Fundamental Fairness.
17. Prior to and during the proceedings, I repeatedly raised written concerns and demands in court filings, oral arguments, criminal complaints, judicial reviews, a separate Habeas Corpus Lawsuit written against the county and court officials, and issued the threat of multiple upcoming 42 USC 1983 lawsuits.
18. I wrote and did those things because every single member of the Lancaster Court of Common Pleas has failed compliance with even the most minimal tenets of Due Process of Law, and the worst offender by far is Retired Senior Judge William P Mahon who violated my constitutional rights protected under the 14th Amendment despite 26 years of service on the bench, simultaneously despite of and due to my repeated notice and demands as well as cease and desist notifications regarding my rights and his lawless deprivation of them, and all the while having been directly appointed to these matters by Pennsylvania Supreme Court Chief Justice Debra Todd. OUTRAGEOUS!
19. Despite these explicit Notice and Demand notifications as well as Cease and Desist Notifications that I issued in a variety of legal formats detailing that I am to be afforded Due Notice, Substantive and Procedural Due Process of Law, and

Equal Protection of the law under the 14th Amendment, Bill, in his individual capacity, decided to willfully ignore my notice and demands for constitutional consideration of my rights and instead he ignored and dismissed my repeated, exhaustively detailed descriptions of how he was violating my rights and what core components were necessary for lawful adjudication to commence.

20. Instead Bill's response to my repeated endeavors to convince him to lawfully incorporate my constitutional rights into his otherwise unlawful approach to family law adjudication was to tell me at least seven separate times about his intentional and deliberate indifference to my complaints regarding these matters and my constitutional rights.
21. Bill's actions were not mere errors of law, but willful, intentional, retaliatory deprivations under color of law, motivated by intense bias against me for my myriad of constitutionally protected defenses to his unlawful abridgement of my fundamental rights without consideration of Due Process of Law, and his issuance of this custody order is done with plainly stated indifference denoted by sevenfold separate self-stated descriptions of that indifference by Bill in various recorded hearings.
22. Further, his writing underscores his outright disdain for me and his villain origin story that may help this department partially address the personal reasons why a retired senior judge may willingly, intentionally, and purposefully stray so far lawful Jurisprudence.
 - a. He demands I undergo a psychological evaluation despite there already being one in this case issued April 11th, 2021, which I voluntarily underwent.
 - b. He calls me a Sovereign Citizen and/or states I make arguments similar to Sovereign Citizens, but my main claim is "I have rights, and you can't violate them without Due Process of Law." This seems hardly worthy of the intended insult.
 - c. He called me an extremist without a clear indication why, but is assured of himself in the description
 - d. He outright lies about my willingness to participate in family therapy. I was in family therapy with my family for SIX MONTHS and my attendance and participation is well documented making this particular lie rather absurd.

e. He claims I'm "psychologically abusive," and while unclear to the origin he appears to suggest that my reservation or lack of enthusiasm for my daughters' choices of LGBTQ lifestyle is somehow abusive.

1. I admit I stated such bland sentiments as "your life will likely be easier if you were straight" and "I was looking forward to grandchildren."
2. Bill is replacing his woke political perspective for my more traditional perspective and seems to imply that not being a follower of his modern woke religion and failing to express deep enthusiasm for LGBTQ+ lifestyles and instead issuing reserved and bland neutral statements neither supportive or overly negative somehow amounts to an ill defined, non-statutory, psychological slop of "psychological abuse."
3. The Supreme Court already warned about this exact kind of overstep in *Troxel* by warning against the imposition of the "better decisions of state court judges."

f. He writes about his perceptions regarding my irreverence, but does so while seemingly oblivious to the irony of crying alligator tears over irreverence in the face of the litany of rights deprivations, crimes he's committing, and in the face of unlawfully and unconstitutionally holding my children, income, and property hostage.

- i. I have a First Amendment constitutional right to express myself irreverently to convey grievances as long as I'm not actively disrupting proceedings,
- ii. Adjudication by way of personal animus has no place in American Jurisprudence.
- iii. If you're going to commit treason and deprivation of rights and you're not able to handle a small amount of snarky pushback from the litigant you're unlawfully depriving of property, income, and children perchance this profession is an ill fit for a weak temperament.

23. While the Court of Common Pleas is an appropriate venue to hear matters related to divorce, support, and custody the acts committed by Bill are non-judicial criminal conduct as he knowingly, intentionally, purposefully, and indifferently deprived me of my federally protected rights, did so despite

numerous warnings, notices, and demands presented in a variety of formats, and his response was to deprive me of rights, retaliate during the process, threaten me with barratry in open court, and oppress me for protesting under my first amendment protected right to grieve the government.

24. So, the first deprivation of rights is that he was adjudicating matters without consideration of the constitutional requirements and protections to be afforded to me.
25. The second deprivation occurred while he was criminally acting outside the jurisdiction and depriving me of my constitutional rights to due Process. While depriving me of my fundamental right to due process he criminally abridged my fundamental liberty to care, custody, and control my children by unlawfully changing the form of physical and legal custody from shared to sole.
26. I readily admit that if the Court of Common Pleas and whomever is adjudicating matters for it can present a lawful case that takes into consideration my Constitutional Rights that it has the lawful authority and power necessary to abridge even my fundamental liberties like the care, custody, and control of my children.
27. The Court is relying on statute 23 PaCSA 5238 to determine all aspects of Custody, but is doing so without regards to the Constitution.
28. Title 23 of the PaCSA is a compilation of state statutes, and the Supremacy Clause is clear that the Federal Constitution, the US Supreme Court, and US Supreme Court Case Law is the supreme law of the land and state statutes are not the supreme law of the land.
29. Thus, if Bill, or any other municipal member of the Lancaster Judiciary, wants to lawfully abridge my right to care, custody, and control my children he must first provide me with Due Process of Law, which is composed of Due Notice, Substantive and Procedural Due Process, and Equal Protection under the law, and only after meeting or exceeding the necessary provisions and requirements can he then deprive me of constitutional rights and Fundamental Liberties.

FACTS WITHIN THE LARGER CONTEXT OF A HIGH CONFLICT DIVORCE ENTERING YEAR SIX

30. This most recent Final Custody Order is just one order is a series of constitutionally void criminally unlawful orders that deprive me of 14th amendment due process of law and subsequently unconstitutionally deprive me of fundamental parental and property rights.

31. Every single order in every single matter, with the possible exception of the 2022 Final Custody Order that was drafted by my Wife and I with mutual, voluntary consent at the time, has failed to provide any semblance of constitutional considerations and are void ab initio.
32. These orders are not absent mindedly without constitutional consideration.
33. These orders are deliberately and covertly without constitutional consideration.
34. I have attempted in as many ways as possible to inform the Lancaster Judiciary since the day they first unconstitutionally authorized my wife to take my kids via a since dismissed PFA in April of 2021 after her abduction of them in March of 2021 after her threats indicating premeditation were issued in February of 2021 that their actions are unlawful, unconstitutional, and void ab initio.
35. What we're seeing is institutionalized disregard for the constitution and constitutional rights.
36. This is an undeclared war on the constitution by the county, DRS, and judiciary of the Lancaster CCP.
37. Collectively, these actions in conspiracy with one another are unfathomably unlawful and ultimately represent a litany of crimes that spans years and buries an absurd number of deliberately issued constitutional Due Process Violations.
 - a. Federal Crimes
 - i. Conspiracy against rights, Deprivation of Rights
 - ii. Treason and Sedition,
 1. Misprision of Treason
 - iii. Racketeering
 1. Money Laundering
 2. Wire Fraud
 3. Negotiable instrument fraud
 4. Securities fraud
 5. Mail Fraud
 6. Misprision of Felony

- iv. Peonage
- v. Human Trafficking
- b. State Crimes
 - i. Oppression, retaliation, and witness intimidation
 - ii. Unjust Enrichment
 - iii. Theft
 - iv. Kidnapping

38. It's not simply errors of law. The unlawful orders are a veritable mass grave of constitutional violations and my matters in particular are an extensive judicial crime scene extending over years, and these crimes are dutifully documented by me, recorded in each case itself, and further covered up by elected and appointed officials operating the racket.

PROBABLE CAUSE FOR CRIMINAL VIOLATIONS

Based on the sworn facts and circumstances set forth in this Affidavit, there is **probable cause** to believe the following offenses have been committed by individuals acting within the **County of Lancaster**, the **Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County, Pennsylvania**, and **Lancaster DRS**.

I. Federal Crimes

A. 18 U.S.C. § 242 — Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law

Rule (abridged): Whoever, **under color of law**, **willfully** subjects any person to the **deprivation of rights** secured by the Constitution or federal law commits a federal offense (enhanced penalties for bodily injury, weapons, etc.).

Elements:

1. The defendant acted under color of state law;
2. The conduct deprived a person of a right secured by the Constitution/laws of the U.S.;
3. The defendant acted **willfully** (specific intent to deprive a known right).

Application to Blair Jesse Ellyn Reich:

- **Color of law:** Judges, court officers, DRS personnel, and county officials acted in their official capacities.
- **Deprivations:**
 - **Due Process (14th Amendment):** No meaningful pre-deprivation hearing before seizures; rubber-stamped “per curiam” notices; factual findings unsupported by evidence; refusal to apply **Mathews v. Eldridge** balancing; disregard of burdens/standards of proof and scrutiny where fundamental rights are implicated.

- **Equal Protection (14th Amendment):** Consistent disparate treatment of Mr. Reich versus similarly situated litigants; preferential process aligned with Title IV-D reimbursement incentives.
- **Parental Liberty (Troxel v. Granville):** Restrictions on Form of Custody without **compelling state interest** and without **clear and convincing evidence**.
- **Willfulness:** Officials were repeatedly **placed on notice** (see Affidavits and note the Habeas Corpus Lawsuit docket number CI-25-00735) that actions violated federal rights yet persisted, evincing specific intent or deliberate indifference tantamount to willfulness.
- **Harm:** Severe emotional distress, unlawful asset seizures, financial injury, and ongoing interference with family integrity (Exs. 5)

B. 18 U.S.C. § 241 — Conspiracy Against Rights

Rule: If two or more persons conspire to **injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate** any person in the **free exercise of constitutional rights**, they commit a federal felony (enhanced if kidnapping, attempt to kill, etc.).

Elements:

1. Agreement between two or more persons;
2. Purpose to interfere with a federal right;
3. Overt acts in furtherance.

Application:

- **Agreement/Coordination:** Pattern of coordinated judicial orders, uniform DRS practices, synchronized rejection of objections, and consistent post-notice continuation of known unconstitutional procedures (Exs.: Cook County IGA and Exhibit 5).
- **Purpose:** To maintain outcomes that maximize collections/withholdings and suppress challenges to unconstitutional practices.

- **Overt Acts:** Repeated issuance/enforcement of defective orders; denial of hearings; suppression/ignoring of exculpatory/mitigating material; coordinated threats of sanction or adverse action when Mr. Reich persisted in asserting rights (Exs. Signed Confession by WPM).

C. 18 U.S.C. §§ 2381–2384 — Treason / Seditious Conspiracy (as notified)

Rule (abridged): Treason and seditious conspiracy criminalize, inter alia, **levying war** against the U.S. or **conspiring by force** to oppose or hinder execution of federal law.

Application (notice theory):

- This Affidavit places authorities on notice of a **systematic war against the Federal Constitution** through deliberate nullification of Due Process/Equal Protection to sustain a funding-driven adjudication scheme. The factual thrust is that officials, after **years of explicit notice**, continued to **hinder execution of federal constitutional law**.
- While the **force** element for § 2384 is typically physical, this filing preserves the allegation that the operation **functionally** prevents and delays execution of federal law across classes of litigants. (Reserved for prosecutorial evaluation given the statute's strict construction.)

D. 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961–1968 — RICO

Rule: It is unlawful for any person employed by or associated with any **enterprise** engaged in or affecting **interstate commerce** to conduct or participate in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a **pattern of racketeering activity** (two or more predicate acts within 10 years).

Elements:

1. **Enterprise:** Formal or informal association (county + court + DRS personnel);
2. **Interstate Commerce:** Funding and communications cross state lines (Title IV-D flows; mail/wire transmissions);
3. **Pattern:** Related predicates, continuity (closed-ended or open-ended);
4. **Racketeering Acts (predicate offenses):** e.g., mail fraud (§ 1341), wire fraud (§ 1343), honest-services fraud (§ 1346), money laundering (§§ 1956–1957),

extortion under color of official right (§ 1951), obstruction (§§ 1503, 1512–1513).

Application:

- **Enterprise:** County agencies, DRS, CCP administrative/judicial actors functioning as a continuing unit.
- **Commerce:** Federal reimbursements; interstate banking channels; U.S. mails and wires used for notices, orders, withholding instructions (Exs. U–Z).
- **Predicates:**
 - **Mail Fraud (§ 1341):** Mailing **fraudulently-procured or void ab initio** orders/levy notices; reliance on omissions of required due process to obtain money/property.
 - **Wire Fraud (§ 1343):** Electronic transmissions of the same (court e-filings, DRS portals, ACH directives).
 - **Money Laundering (§§ 1956–1957):** Financial transactions to **conceal or integrate** proceeds derived from unconstitutional exactions tied to defective process and false pretenses of lawfulness.
 - **Hobbs Act Extortion (§ 1951):** Obtaining property under color of official right via unlawful threats of incarceration/sanction predicated on void or constitutionally defective orders.
- **Pattern:** Multi-year continuity; repeated application across multiple orders and seizures; foreseeable repeat conduct (open-ended continuity).
- **Nexus to Title IV-D:** Biased adjudication and enforcement **increase federal reimbursements**, providing motive and financial structure for racketeering continuity.

Note: For **civil RICO**, injury must be to **business or property**; for **criminal RICO**, this Affidavit supplies probable cause as to enterprise/pattern/predicates, reserving charging discretion to federal prosecutors.

II. Pennsylvania Crimes

A. 18 Pa.C.S. § 903 — Criminal Conspiracy

Elements:

1. Agreement with one or more persons;
2. Intent to promote/facilitate a crime;
3. Overt act in furtherance.

Application: Agreements among court/DRS personnel to employ known-unconstitutional procedures; overt acts include issuance/enforcement of biased orders, suppression of hearings/evidence, and coordination to preserve unlawful withholdings.

B. 18 Pa.C.S. § 5301 — Official Oppression

Elements: A person acting or purporting to act in **official capacity**, **knowing** conduct is illegal, (1) subjects another to **seizure, dispossession, assessment, lien**, or (2) **denies/impedes** the exercise of a right.

Application: Knowing continuation of unconstitutional practices after formal notice; unlawful property seizures without lawful pre-deprivation hearings; impediments to parental liberty and due process.

C. 18 Pa.C.S. § 4952 — Intimidation of Witnesses or Victims

Elements: With intent to **influence/delay/prevent testimony** or **retaliate** for lawful participation, uses **force, intimidation, threat, or deception**.

Application: **William P. Mahon, Thomas Ost-Prisco, and David Sunday** (as alleged) threatened or signaled legal retaliation/sanctions to chill Mr. Reich's continued assertion of constitutional rights and objections (Exs.: unattached previous Criminal Complaint re David Sunday and Thomas Ost-Prisco).

D. 18 Pa.C.S. § 2901 — Kidnapping (*primary theory reserved; alternate charge preserved*)

Rule: Unlawful removal or confinement **for substantial period** in a place of **isolation** with specified intents (ransom, facilitate felony, terrorize, or interfere with government function).

Application (preservation): Mr. Reich alleges **functional confinement** of his parental relationship via unlawful geographic and custodial restrictions derived from void/defective orders. To the extent prosecutors deem § 2901 inapplicable given its physical confinement elements, Mr. Reich **alternatively alleges**:

- **18 Pa.C.S. § 2904 — Interference with Custody of Children**, and
- **Contempt/Abuse of Process** predicated on **void ab initio** orders to effectuate unlawful deprivation of parent-child access.

III. Venue, Jurisdiction, and Referral Notes

- Conduct occurred in **Lancaster County, Pennsylvania** (state jurisdiction) and implicated **federal constitutional rights** (federal jurisdiction).
- Given the federal predicates (18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242, 1341, 1343, 1951, 1956–57, 1961–68), this Affidavit is appropriate for referral to the **United States Attorney’s Office (E.D. Pa.)** and the **FBI**.
- State offenses are properly referred to the **Lancaster County District Attorney** and/or the **Pennsylvania Attorney General**.

IV. Evidentiary Proffer (Non-exhaustive, to be attached)

1. Copies of “per curiam” seizure notices and orders.
2. Proof of objections/requests for hearings and denials or sham hearings (WPM Signed Confession).
3. DRS accountings, bank levy records, ACH directives, wage withholdings (Exs 5).
4. Correspondence and transcripts evidencing retaliation/intimidation (Exs. unattached previous Criminal Complaint re Sunday and Ost-Prisco).
5. Title IV-D reimbursement policy, IGA materials demonstrating part of the interstate financial motive (Exs. 2, COOK COUNTY IGA).

V. ADDITIONAL FEDERAL AND STATE CRIMINAL VIOLATIONS

A. 18 U.S.C. §§ 1581–1594 — Peonage, Slavery, and Human Trafficking

Constitutional Basis:

- **Thirteenth Amendment:** “Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States.”
- The Thirteenth Amendment is self-executing (see *Civil Rights Cases*, 109 U.S. 3 (1883)) and prohibits all forms of coerced labor, debt servitude, or compelled performance enforced through threat of penalty or coercion under color of law.

Statutory Authority:

- **18 U.S.C. § 1581:** Prohibits holding or returning a person to a condition of peonage.
- **18 U.S.C. § 1589:** Prohibits obtaining labor or services by means of force, threats, or abuse of law.
- **18 U.S.C. § 1590:** Prohibits recruiting, harboring, transporting, or obtaining persons for servitude or forced labor.
- **18 U.S.C. § 1593A:** Criminalizes benefiting financially from participation in a venture that engages in such conduct.

Case Law:

- *United States v. Kozminski*, 487 U.S. 931 (1988) — Defined involuntary servitude as coercion through physical restraint, threats, or abuse of legal process.
- *Bailey v. Alabama*, 219 U.S. 219 (1911) — Struck down laws that compel labor through debt or criminal sanction as unconstitutional peonage.
- *Pollock v. Williams*, 322 U.S. 4 (1944) — Held that using criminal process to compel payment of debt constitutes peonage and violates the Thirteenth Amendment.

Application:

Officials within the **Lancaster County DRS and Court of Common Pleas** have used coercive **threats of incarceration, asset seizure, and license suspension** to compel financial performance on void or fraudulently inflated obligations. These actions constitute **peonage and involuntary servitude under color of law**, as the coerced “labor” is the continued production of income under threat of legal penalty. The judicial actors **abused the legal process** to enforce compliance—falling squarely under *Kozminski* and *Pollock* definitions of coercion through “abuse of legal process.”

B. 18 U.S.C. § 1590–1591 — Human Trafficking by Coercion and Exploitation**Statutory Authority:**

- **18 U.S.C. § 1590:** “Whoever knowingly recruits, harbors, transports, provides, or obtains by any means any person for labor or services in violation of this chapter shall be fined or imprisoned.”
- **18 U.S.C. § 1591:** Addresses trafficking for exploitation by force, fraud, or coercion, including psychological coercion and financial control.

Case Law:

- *United States v. Dann*, 652 F.3d 1160 (9th Cir. 2011) — Established that trafficking includes schemes of psychological coercion and financial domination.
- *United States v. Calimlim*, 538 F.3d 706 (7th Cir. 2008) — Upheld conviction where defendants used threats of deportation and legal harm to coerce labor.

Application:

The **Title IV-D framework**, as administered by **Lancaster County**, creates a system of **financial and psychological coercion** compelling continuous productivity and financial transfer under threat of incarceration, loss of liberty, and family separation. These elements constitute human trafficking-like exploitation under § 1590 and § 1591, meeting the criteria of coercive control for financial benefit. The officials involved **derive financial gain** through federal reimbursement, satisfying § 1593A (benefit from a trafficking venture).

C. 18 U.S.C. § 1957, Common Law — Unjust Enrichment and Fraudulent Conversion

Constitutional Basis:

- **Fourteenth Amendment, Due Process Clause** prohibits the state from depriving any person of property without lawful process.
- **Fifth Amendment, Takings Clause:** Prohibits taking of private property for public use without just compensation. (*Chicago, B. & Q. R. Co. v. Chicago*, 166 U.S. 226 (1897)).

Federal/State Authority:

- **18 U.S.C. § 1957:** Engaging in monetary transactions derived from unlawful activity.
- **Restatement (Third) of Restitution and Unjust Enrichment § 1 (2011):** One who obtains a benefit by wrongful act or without justification is unjustly enriched and must make restitution.
- **Pennsylvania Case Law:** *Mitchell v. Moore*, 729 A.2d 1200 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1999) — Unjust enrichment arises where one party retains benefits wrongfully obtained at another's expense.
- *Durst v. Milroy Gen. Contracting, Inc.*, 52 A.3d 357 (Pa. Super. Ct. 2012) — Reiterates that equity will not allow unjust retention of benefits conferred by unlawful conduct.

Application:

The **Lancaster County DRS** and **Court of Common Pleas** have wrongfully seized property and wages through unconstitutional process, later disbursing or retaining portions as administrative “fees” or Title IV-D incentives. These acts constitute both **fraudulent conversion** and **unjust enrichment** under Pennsylvania and federal law. Federal funds received on the basis of unlawful state actions represent **illegal proceeds** within the meaning of **18 U.S.C. § 1957**. Restitution and disgorgement are warranted under both statutory and equitable doctrines.

D. 18 Pa.C.S. § 2701 — Assault (and § 2709 — Harassment)

Statutory Authority:

- **18 Pa.C.S. § 2701(a):** “A person is guilty of assault if he attempts to cause or intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causes bodily injury to another, or

negligently causes bodily injury with a deadly weapon.”

- **18 Pa.C.S. § 2709(a):** “A person commits the crime of harassment when, with intent to harass, annoy or alarm another, the person strikes, shoves, kicks or otherwise subjects another to physical contact, or threatens to do the same.”

Case Law:

- *Commonwealth v. Wertz*, 615 A.2d 427 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1992) — Assault includes conduct placing another in reasonable apprehension of bodily harm.
- *Commonwealth v. Duncan*, 363 A.2d 803 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1976) — Threats or actions by officials can constitute assault when intended to compel behavior through fear.

Application:

Judicial officers, DRS agents, and county enforcers have made **credible threats of incarceration and bodily seizure** to coerce compliance. The pattern of **legal intimidation, emotional terrorization, and use of sheriff’s deputies or police threats** to compel payment constitutes a sustained pattern of **assault and harassment under color of law**, meeting the definitions set forth in *Wertz* and *Duncan*.

VI. COMBINED CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS

The combined conduct of the **County of Lancaster** and **Court of Common Pleas** violates:

- **First Amendment:** Retaliation against protected petitioning and complaint activities (*Hartman v. Moore*, 547 U.S. 250 (2006)).
- **Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments:** Deprivation of property and liberty without due process; denial of equal protection.
- **Thirteenth Amendment:** Coerced labor and involuntary servitude through debt-based threats and punitive enforcement.
- **Eighth Amendment:** Excessive fines and cruel punishment through economically destructive levies.
- **Article VI, Clause 2 (Supremacy Clause):** State and county acts repugnant to the Constitution are void ab initio (*Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. 137 (1803)).

CONCLUSION AND PRAYER FOR PROSECUTION

This affidavit establishes Probable Cause that the COUNTY OF LANCASTER, in concert and conspiracy with the COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA and LANCASTER DRS, operates an ongoing criminal enterprise in violation of federal and constitutional law. The enterprise's pattern of racketeering activity includes, but is not limited to:

- Systematic Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law (18 U.S.C. §242);
- Conspiracy Against Rights (18 U.S.C. §241);
- Wire Fraud and Mail Fraud (18 U.S.C. §§1341, 1343);
- Money Laundering through unlawful Title IV-D reimbursements (18 U.S.C. §§1956–1957);
- Misprision of Treason by officials who know of these acts and fail to report or halt them (18 U.S.C. §2382); and
- Ongoing Treason and Sedition against the Federal Constitution (18 U.S.C. §§2381–2384).

Each omission of Fundamental Fairness is not an accident, but an act of commission disguised as omission. It is a sophisticated covert evasion designed to appear lawful on its face, while deliberately warring with the Federal Constitution beneath the surface. The Constitution is not a polite or voluntary suggestion—it is the supreme law of the land, and any operation that systematically nullifies it for profit is not a government, but a racketeering enterprise masquerading as one.

Therefore, I demand that the Office of the District Attorney for Lancaster County open an immediate criminal investigation under the authority of 18 U.S.C. §§1961–1968, 18 U.S.C. §§241–242, and applicable Pennsylvania statutes including 18 Pa.C.S. §903 (Criminal Conspiracy) and §5301 (Official Oppression), among others.

Your previous failure and refusal to act upon previous sworn affidavits constitutes Treason, as this office is still, currently, and once again on formal notice of felonies, treason and sedition committed against the People of the Commonwealth and the Federal Constitution.

For this reason I'm sending this document to an array of people outside of your organization such as the State Attorney general, FBI, DOJ, DoD, US AG, and numerous elected officials to keep escalating the amount of pressure you face regarding the severity of the crimes contained herein while resolutely derelict in your duty to perform. If you receive this document you should read about Misprision of Treason before deciding what you do next.

Also, I'll be contacting State and Federal HHS. That's the money trail. That's the supply line for this criminal enterprise. Like a kamikaze fighter I'm going to nose dive into uncomfortable conversations that include "Treason" and "Misprision of Treason" with the folks that are providing expense reimbursement and Title IV-D matching funding that stems from Federal HHS and enables this interstate racket to continue to operate.

Lastly, I'm aware the road to enforcement of crimes by government officials against government officials is uphill, long, and treacherous, but it turns out I have sufficient and extensive motivation stemming from the bad guys holding my children, income, and property hostage for five years as part of an interstate racketeering enterprise. I will not tire. I will not quit. I'll see this through to my last breath. I know it's grueling, but I try to have some fun with it along the way and hope you can appreciate small doses of irreverence as I provide seismic responses to seismic disruptions of the peace and dignity of Pennsylvania to a few variety of State and Federal departments and uncomfortably provide notice and disclosure to extensive interstate crimes which have grave consequences and have been committed by conspiring government officials.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION TO THIS MATTER!

DISCLAIMER

*I am not an expert in the law however I do know right from wrong. If there is any man or woman damaged by any statements herein, if he will inform me by facts I will sincerely make every effort to amend my ways. I hereby and herein reserve the right to amend and make amendments to this document as necessary, in order that the truth may be ascertained and proceedings justly determined. If the parties given notice by means of this document have information that would controvert and overcome this Affidavit, please advise me IN WRITTEN AFFIDAVIT FORM within ten (10) days from receipt hereof, **providing me with your counter affidavit**, proving with particularity by stating all requisite actual evidentiary fact and all requisite actual law, and not merely the ultimate facts or conclusions of law, that this Affidavit Statement is substantially and materially false sufficiently to change materially my status and factual declarations. Your silence stands as consent to, and tacit approval of, the declarations herein being established as fact of the matter of law. **Any statement made about any portion of this document being incorrect will necessarily indicate that you believe all remaining portions of the document to be true to the best of your knowledge.***

Pursuant to 28 USC Section 1746(1)

".. any matter is required or permitted to be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the sworn declaration, verification, certificate, statement, oath, or affidavit, in writing of the person making the same, such matter may, with like force and effect, be supported, evidenced, establish, or proved by the unsworn declaration, certificate, verification, or statement, in writing of such person which is subscribed by him, as true under penalty of perjury, and dated, in substantially the following form..

(1)If executed without the United States: "I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature)".

(2)If executed within the United States, its territories, possessions, or commonwealths: "I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature)".

DECLARATION AND 28 USC 1746

I, blair of columbia // reich: blair-jesse-ellyn, do hereby state that based upon my firsthand knowledge and information relayed to me from my own research, this Affidavit is true, accurate, and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief by me the man/Trustor/Settlor/Agent to and beneficiary of the Social Security Cestui que Trust / UCC 9 REGISTERED ORGANIZATION / INDIVIDUAL PROPRIETORSHIP, LEGAL NULLITY, BLAIR JESSE ELLYN REICH, as herein designated.

"I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 10/27/2025."

Further I sayeth naught.

AUTOGRAPH

WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND WITHOUT RECOURSE
Reserving ALL Natural God-Given, Constitutionally protected,
Unalienable Birthrights, Waiving None, Ever,
BY: blair of columbia // reich: blair-jesse-ellyn, free White Pennsylvanian
For: BLAIR JESSE ELLYN REICH, legal nullity, *ens legis*

With a heavenly domicile,
Mail deliverable to:
% 227 Cherry Street, Columbia, Pennsylvania
blairjesseellynreich@gmail.com
979-574-1577

The judicially acknowledged undisputed heavyweight
champion of Sui Juris Litigation in Lancaster County

WITH ESCALATION
WITHOUT CONSENT
WITHOUT CONFIDENCE
SIC SEMPER TYRANNIS

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
LANCASTER COUNTY

Autographed before me on _____ (date)

By: reich: blair-jesse-ellyn, man

Signature of notarial officer

Stamp

Title of Office

My Commission Expires:

LIST OF EXHIBITS

1. OPINION IN SUPPORT OF FINAL CUSTODY ORDER and FINAL CUSTODY ORDER ("SIGNED CONFESSION OF TREASON AND DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS BY WPM") - Example of Intentional, Treasonous, Deprivation of 14th Amendment Rights to Fundamental Fairness and subsequent Deprivation of the Fundamental Parental Liberty to Care, Custody, and one's Control children.
2. State of Illinois Intergovernmental Agreement between the Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services and the Cook County State's Attorney Agreement No. 2025-55-025 ("COOK COUNTY IGA") - Example of the interstate nature of the funding mechanism being illegally abused
3. RELEVANT HISTORY, JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGES, OBJECTIONS, PARENTAL FITNESS, COMMON LAW, AND DUE PROCESS FAILURE IN ADVANCE OF AUGUST 22ND, 2025 SHOW TRIAL BY AFFIDAVIT (with cover page "THE CULT OF COMMON THIEVES LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA: THE OPRAH WINFREY OF DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS") - Extensive example of due notice to the Court showcasing the deprivations of rights by the Court.
4. A Scorned Woman, Due Process Violations, Equal Protection Failures, State Created Danger, Fraud and Coverup, and long unsettled Lex Injusta; Testimony Visual Aids by Blair of Columbia, agent for and OBO BLAIR JESSE ELLYN REICH September 5th, 2025. Extensive example of due notice to the Court showcasing the deprivations of rights by the Court based on example 3.
5. A selection of unlawful DRS orders seizing/freezing property without Due Process of Law.

About the Author

Blair Reich is a fundamentally flawed human who has spent five years battling his darling wife and a Black Collar Cartel at the COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA. He's a PhD Chemist so he has that going for him. Since graduating, working, and teaching in his studied field he switched careers to operating cryptocurrency based games and game platforms. Yeah, it's been grueling getting his teeth kicked in repeatedly via court and crypto for a number of years.

He suffered from alcohol induced bipolar disorder from approximately 2006 to October 19th, 2015 when he experienced a spontaneous remission and something like a new start in life. He has since adopted the pseudonym Aggroed Lighthacker and typically addresses himself to friends as "aggy" or as "Blair" for government and commercial agents. His old crew knows him as Jesse.

He has had mixed experience in court and has managed periods of time where he has shared legal and physical custody of his three children with his first and current wife, but has also experienced three separate periods where she's been able to take unilateral custody of the kids with the unlawful, unconstitutional, and treasonous actions of the Lancaster Judiciary. That said judiciary have recused themselves of his legal affairs after he presented criminal complaints of aiding and abetting kidnapping. Now he gets to face an out of county retired senior judge who was hand picked by the Chief Justice of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court making him her directly appointed agent.

You'd think the rather extensive number of personal flaws leading to deep personal failings may prohibit this author from any sanctuary by Grace; however, it turns out the deep flaws are actually prerequisites and the minimum criteria necessary for Grace to freely flow. This author is knowingly and presently serving our Heavenly Father and faithfully partaking in a mission to bring about seismic change to free fathers from this treasonous hellscape and unceremoniously buried mass grave of due process violations and acts of treason.