

Convoluting Federal Supremacy with Friends: Obligatory Public Records Request Letterhead

By: *Dr. Blair Reich, blackcollarcartel.com*

227 Cherry Street, Columbia, PA 17512, blairjesseellynreich@gmail.com, 979-574-1577

February 2, 2026

Subject: Formal Public Records Request and Demand for Compliance - Phase II Escalation

Notice to principal is notice to agent and notice to agent is notice to principal.

Dear State Agent,

This serves as official notice that you are a named respondent in my Updated & Expanded Public Records Request and Demand for Compliance: Phase II Escalation, pursuant to applicable federal (FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552) and state public records laws (e.g., Pennsylvania RTKL, 65 P.S. § 67.101 et seq., or your state equivalent). As a participant in the Title IV-D program—whether as a judge, commissioner (or equivalent executive/council member), DA, sheriff, DRS director/officer, clerk/prothonotary, accountant/manager overseeing Title IV-D funds in any way whatsoever, attorney general, auditor, compliance officer, inspector general, legal counsel, or other role—you are connected by constitution, code, contract, conspiracy, and crime, bound by oaths, bonds, and federal supremacy (U.S. Const. Art. VI; Cooperative Agreement §9) to fully respond. This demand is not limited to FOIA/RTK officers; all listed roles (judges, executives, enforcers, administrators) must act, regardless of department. Your participation is mandatory under these obligations; denials are unlawful and will be treated as obstruction, triggering personal and professional consequences including fines, disbarment, imprisonment, and civil/criminal liability under 18 U.S.C. § 1505 (obstruction), § 371 (conspiracy), and equivalent state laws.

Attached is the core demand section, including the necessarily thorough list of records requested. This record request is an excerpt from "Convoluting Federal Supremacy with Friends," an exposé detailing the systemic failures and criminal activities in the Title IV-D program. The full list of named government actors (~60 pages) is available in the Convoluting Federal Supremacy with Friends document. For the complete document, including the full preamble, standards, and consequences, visit blackcollarcartel.com. There, you will also find supporting works: "Convoluting Federal Supremacy" and the seminal "Black Collar Crime Spree," which detail the interstate cartel's operations involving child trafficking, property theft, income extortion, and laundering of Title IV-D funds—crimes you are aiding through non-compliance.

Under your oaths and duties (§3.9, §4.1, §4.3 of Cooperative Agreements; 45 C.F.R. § 302.10(a); equivalent provisions), you must immediately read this demand in full and disseminate it to all relevant personnel (supervisors, subordinates, counsel, IGs, auditors, judges, etc.). Each recipient must do the same, documenting via logs/affidavits. Provide proof in your response. To effectuate this via mass communication, forward with instructions for chain of command dissemination; non-compliance constitutes default.

Failure to read, disseminate, or respond timely (acknowledgment by February 12, 2026; full response by February 26, 2026) constitutes default, estoppel (UCC § 1-308 or equivalent), and admission of complicity in treason (18 U.S.C. § 2381), conspiracy (§371), obstruction (§1505), RICO (§1964), RICO predicate acts (§1961 et seq.), and equivalent state laws, exposing you to personal liability, DOJ referrals, license revocation, and civil/criminal suits. I intend to sue.

Comply with the mandatory and obligatory records request or face civil and criminal penalties jeopardizing your role, property, income, freedom, health, and security.

Sincerely,
Blair of Columbia with Contractual, Constitutional, and Divine Authority

Updated & Expanded Public Records Request:

Phase II Escalation Demand for Compliance

To the Defaulting Federal Despots, State Bureaucrats, and Individual Enablers of This Treasonous Racket—including all pilfering operatives at relevant federal, state, and local offices handling Title IV-D programs (e.g., HHS Secretary Robert F. Kennedy Jr., SSA Commissioner Frank J. Bisignano, their counsels/IGs; Pennsylvania DHS staff including Andrea Bankes; Lancaster DRS staff including Gary Kline at garykline@pacses.com, 150 N Queen Street, Lancaster, PA 17603; and equivalent directors, staff, and offices in all other states and counties):

This demand explicitly includes all judges, judicial conduct boards, disciplinary boards, and court administrators involved in Title IV-D or family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce). As part of judicial agencies under RTKL § 102 and § 304, you must disclose financial records (e.g., contracts, budgets, incentives under Cooperative Agreements §6, oaths/bonds tied to public funds) and administrative documents without exemption unless justified. Evasion violates your judicial oaths (28 U.S.C. § 1746; PA Const. Art. VI, § 3) and Code of Judicial Conduct Rule 2.15 (requiring response to misconduct allegations). Furthermore, by participating in Title IV-D cooperative agreements (which involve administrative and contractual duties, e.g., enforcement under §2.2(i) and funding under §5-6), judges operate in a quasi-administrative capacity, waiving absolute judicial immunity for such acts (per *Forrester v. White*, 484 U.S. 219 (1988), where immunity does not apply to administrative functions) and subjecting related records to full disclosure under RTKL as "financial records" tied to public contracts and federal funds. This includes any documents showing implementation (or failure) of federal supremacy in courtrooms, as these are administrative obligations under the agreements.

Your prior failures to comply with my December 24, 2025, FOIA/RTK demands—detailed in Phase I's "Convoluting Federal Supremacy" (pages 122-124)—are not oversights but deliberate obstructions, conspiring to shield the Black Collar Cartel engaged in illegal child trafficking, property theft, income extortion, and laundering of interstate Title IV-D funds. HHS under Robert F. Kennedy Jr. and SSA under Frank J. Bisignano stonewalled entirely, ignoring requests for State Plans, cooperative agreements, financials, contracts, oaths, and bonds—violating 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA) and 45 C.F.R. § 5.1 et seq., exposing you to fines, penalties, and conspiracy charges under 18 U.S.C. § 371. PA DHS issued a partial, evasive "interim" extension via Andrea Bankes on January 5, 2026, lacking substantive documents, a Vaughn index (*U.S. Dep't of State v. Ray*, 502 U.S. 164 (1991)), and key items like State Plans and incentives—breaching 65 P.S. § 67.101 et seq. (RTKL). Of the 251 respondents, 249 failed utterly, refusing employment agreements, bonds, insurance, or third-party contracts tied to Title IV-D funds, amounting to dereliction under 18 U.S.C. § 4 (misprision) and acquiescence by silence under UCC § 1-308 (estoppel). Lancaster DRS ignored my October 21/November 1, 2025, demands for seized vs. distributed funds in PACSES 8730073 (\$240K extorted from Blair J. Reich vs. disbursed to Alene Reich)—violating 5-day contractual mandates (§3.5 "Case Record Standards"), escalating to fraud (§3.3 remittance mismatches) and obstruction. Similar systemic failures are presumed across all states' Title IV-D operations.

This Phase II demand renews, expands, and escalates those requests as a standalone contractual obligation for full disclosure. It serves as notice to all prior, current, and new respondents (including witnesses and entities like state DHS directors across all 50 states). Federal entities (HHS/SSA) are bound by FOIA; Pennsylvania entities (PA DHS) by RTKL; other states by their respective public records laws (detailed below). Individuals are bound by oaths, bonds, and contractual duties (§3.9 in cooperative

agreements), with escalation to DOJ referrals and RICO suits (18 U.S.C. § 1964) for non-compliance. Your default will be construed as intentional aiding and abetting the cartel—conspiring in treason, trafficking, laundering, and fraud—triggering immediate admissions of guilt, fines, penalties, and personal liability.

Upon receipt of this demand, you are contractually and legally obligated under your oaths, bonds, and duties (§3.9, §4.1, §4.3 of Cooperative Agreements; 45 C.F.R. § 302.10(a); and equivalent federal, state, and local provisions) to immediately disseminate copies of this full document to all relevant personnel in your department, agency, or office, including but not limited to: supervisors, subordinates, legal counsel, inspectors general, compliance officers, auditors, and inter-agency liaisons. You must further instruct each recipient to similarly disseminate it within their respective chains of command and networks, creating a mandatory chain of disclosure. Upon receipt, federal (HHS/SSA), state (DHS/AGs), and local/county (DRS/courts) entities—including judges—must disseminate this full demand to all family court judges, hearing officers, and administrative staff handling Title IV-D cases. Each recipient must further disseminate to their subordinates and inter-agency contacts (e.g., judicial conduct boards), documenting via logs or affidavits. Provide these dissemination records in your response. Failure constitutes obstruction under 18 U.S.C. § 1505 and RTKL § 1304 (bad faith penalties up to \$1,500/record), triggering mandamus actions to compel compliance. Failure to disseminate and document such actions (e.g., via emails, memos, or logs) constitutes non-compliance, triggering penalties under 18 U.S.C. § 371 (conspiracy), § 1505 (obstruction), and equivalent state laws, as well as estoppel under UCC § 1-308. This obligation applies equally to federal (e.g., HHS/SSA staff), state (e.g., DHS directors and AGs), and local/county (e.g., DRS staff, judges, commissioners) entities, ensuring comprehensive spread across all levels of the Title IV-D program.

Biblical Preamble: Moral Imperative for Disclosure and Justice

In the name of the Lord Jesus Christ, who came to seek and save the lost (Luke 19:10), I address you serpents and scorpions under divine authority: "Behold, I give unto you power to tread on serpents and scorpions, and over all the power of the enemy: and nothing shall by any means hurt me" (Luke 10:19). You pervert justice like Pharaoh's taskmasters (Exodus 5:6-9), oppress the fatherless (Exodus 22:22-23), and pervert judgment (Deuteronomy 24:17). Proverbs 31:8-9 commands: "Open thy mouth for the dumb in the cause of all such as are appointed to destruction. Open thy mouth, judge righteously, and plead the cause of the poor and needy." Your silence mocks this, conspiring against the innocent (Psalm 82:3-4). Repent, disclose fully, or face divine wrath (Romans 6:23): "the wages of sin is death."

Standards and Obligations You Are Bound to Follow

This demand relies on strong legal, contractual, and moral rules that everyone must follow. These rules are designed to make sure you provide full transparency and stop participating in ignoring higher federal laws, taking away people's rights in court, and wrongly seizing children, property, or money through the Title IV-D child support system.

- **Federal Constitution, Laws, Rules, Court Decisions, and Procedures:** The U.S. Constitution's Article VI (known as the Supremacy Clause) says federal laws are the highest in the land and

everyone must follow them. Amendment I protects your right to ask the government for help or fixes without being punished (as in the court case *Hartman v. Moore*, 547 U.S. 250 (2006)). Amendment XIV guarantees fair treatment and equal rights under the law (as explained in cases like *Mathews v. Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 319 (1976), which talks about balancing fairness in procedures, and *Goldberg v. Kelly*, 397 U.S. 254 (1970)). Key laws include 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA, which gives the public absolute right to government records, per *Dep't of Justice v. Tax Analysts*, 492 U.S. 136 (1989)); 42 U.S.C. § 654(3) (making State Plans public); and 18 U.S.C. §§ 371 (conspiracy), 1505 (obstruction), 2382 (misprision, or hiding crimes). Rules like 45 C.F.R. § 302.10(a) require plans and agreements to be public. Court decisions include *NLRB v. Robbins Tire & Rubber Co.*, 437 U.S. 214 (1978) (no hiding info without a good reason); *EPA v. Mink*, 410 U.S. 73 (1973) (release parts that can be shared); and *U.S. Dep't of State v. Ray* (separation of info). Court procedures: Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 (rules for discovering evidence); *Hanna v. Plumer*, 380 U.S. 460 (1965) (federal rules control).

- **State Constitutions, Laws, Rules, Court Decisions, and Procedures:** For Pennsylvania, the State Constitution's Article I, § 11 guarantees open courts and the right to seek justice; § 26 prevents discrimination. Laws like 65 P.S. § 67.101 et seq. (Right-to-Know Law, giving absolute access to records) and 23 Pa.C.S. § 4301 (making support info public). Rules such as 55 Pa. Code § 3140.21 (ensuring fair procedures). Court decisions: *Curtis v. Kline*, 542 Pa. 249 (1995) (equal protection under the law); *Com. v. Bolden*, 472 Pa. 602 (1977) (required actions). Court procedures: Pa.R.C.P. 1910.27 (discovery rules); Pa.R.C.P. 227.1 (seeking relief). For other states: Equivalent provisions under your state's constitution, public records law (listed below), and codes/regulations/case law for public access, due process, and child support.
- **Commercial Code (Business Rules):** UCC § 1-308 (or 13 Pa.C.S.A. § 1308 or your state equivalent) (if you stay silent, it can mean you agree, creating a legal block); § 1-304 (or 13 Pa.C.S.A. § 1304 or your state equivalent) (must act in good faith); § 2-302 (or 13 Pa.C.S.A. § 2302 or your state equivalent) (unfair terms in contracts aren't allowed).
- **Contractual Requirements (Agreements You Signed):** State Plans under 42 U.S.C. § 654 (must be public); Intergovernmental Agreements (§3.13 on cooperative deals); Cooperative Agreements (§9 on federal supremacy being top, §3.9 on bonds and oaths); Third-Party Contracts (§3.13 on legal services); Oaths (28 U.S.C. § 1746 and state versions, promises to follow the law).

The Records Request: Clear and Contractually Obligated Demands

In support of my private investigation into this interstate racketeering enterprise abusing HHS/SSA funds via state DHS equivalents, provide immediately the following or best-fit equivalents, including all historical versions, amendments, drafts, redlines, unredacted copies, attachments, exhibits, appendices, referenced documents, metadata, audit trails, and any related records in any format (electronic, paper, audio, video, or otherwise). This exhaustive demand is grounded in your legal obligations under FOIA/RTKL equivalents, contractual disclosure mandates (§3.5, §3.6, §3.9, §5.1, §6.1, etc.), and public access rights to ensure accountability for systemic violations. All respondents must act in good faith to dismantle this racket—obstruction ties you to high crimes. To maximize scope and ensure fiduciary compliance while adhering to law and contract, this includes any and all documents you possess, control, or can access through affiliates, contractors, or archives, spanning from program inception to present, with no exemptions claimable without a detailed Vaughn index justifying each.

General Guidance for All Questions: Where a specific Pennsylvania law, code, regulation, canon, or rule is mentioned (e.g., 23 Pa.C.S., 55 Pa. Code, Pa. Const., Pa.R.C.P., PA Code of Judicial Conduct), if you are a respondent outside Pennsylvania, substitute and respond based on your state's equivalent provisions (e.g., your state's domestic relations code, due process regulations, constitution, court rules, or judicial conduct code). Failure to substitute and respond fully constitutes acknowledgment that no equivalent basis exists for non-compliance, and you must disclose accordingly.

1. Federal-State "State Plan" between HHS/SSA and your state's DHS (or equivalent) for Title IV-D, including all Phase I specifics such as: (i) the full text of the current State Plan; (ii) all amendments, renewals, and modifications; (iii) approval letters and correspondence from HHS/SSA; (iv) detailed budget projections and allocations; (v) incentive payment structures and formulas; (vi) compliance certification reports; (vii) audit findings and corrective actions; (viii) performance metrics and evaluations; (ix) related federal guidance documents; (x) historical versions from the past 20 years, along with any inter-state comparisons or federal oversight reports.
2. All similar State Plans for every other state, including all Phase I specifics such as: (i) the full text of each state's current State Plan; (ii) all amendments, renewals, and modifications; (iii) approval letters and correspondence from HHS/SSA; (iv) detailed budget projections and allocations; (v) incentive payment structures and formulas; (vi) compliance certification reports; (vii) audit findings and corrective actions; (viii) performance metrics and evaluations; (ix) related federal guidance documents; (x) historical versions from the past 20 years—primarily to federal HHS, but provide copies if held by your agency, along with any inter-state comparisons or federal oversight reports.
3. All Cooperative Agreements between your state's DHS and counties, including all Phase I specifics such as: (i) the full text of each agreement; (ii) all amendments, renewals, and modifications; (iii) approval letters and correspondence; (iv) detailed budget plans (§5.1); (v) incentive structures (§6.1); (vi) compliance reports; (vii) audit findings; (viii) performance evaluations; (ix) dispute resolution records; (x) subcontracts and MOUs, unredacted with attachments/exhibits (§5.1 budgets, §6.1 incentives), including all subcontracts, MOUs, addendums, financial projections, incentive payment calculations, and dispute resolution records.
4. All Cooperative Agreements between each state's DHS and counties, including all Phase I specifics such as: (i) the full text of each agreement; (ii) all amendments, renewals, and modifications; (iii) approval letters and correspondence; (iv) detailed budget plans (§5.1); (v) incentive structures (§6.1); (vi) compliance reports; (vii) audit findings; (viii) performance evaluations; (ix) dispute resolution records; (x) subcontracts and MOUs, including cross-state equivalents—primarily to federal HHS, but provide copies if held, with all funding allocation details, reimbursement claims, and penalty assessments.
5. All IGAs for child support/Title IV-D, including contracts with DAs, AGs, judges, sheriffs, clerks, conference officers, prothonotaries, private collection agencies, credit bureaus, and any other entities, with full disclosure of compensation structures, performance metrics, and conflict-of-interest declarations.
6. All 3rd Party/Private Contracts, including name, oath, bond, insurance, bank account, and contract for any entity/person handling Title IV-D funds (financial/legal advising), plus vendor selection processes, RFP documents, bid evaluations, and termination records.
7. For any person/office touching Title IV-D (judges, staff, contractors, etc.): Identity, addresses, role, job description, banking details (where funds are handled), bond, oath, salary, contract, organizational charts (including hierarchical structures, reporting lines, and inter-agency relationships), resumes, training certifications, disciplinary records, and performance evaluations.

8. List/copy of all my prior filings/notices (chronological), including internal notes, acknowledgments, processing logs, and any responses or denials not previously disclosed.
9. Disclosure of all communications about me (dates, copies/notes), including emails, memos, meetings minutes, phone logs, inter-agency correspondence, and any surveillance or monitoring records related to my case or inquiries.
10. (For Lancaster DRS (applicable to PA DHS) only) For Lancaster DRS (applicable to PA DHS): Total monies seized/garnished from Blair J. Reich (PACSES 8730073) vs. disbursed to Alene Reich—per §3.5/§3.6 records and 5-day mandate; all missing from October 21/November 1, 2025 (§3.3 remittance details, mismatches), plus full transaction ledgers, bank statements, and forensic accounting reports. For other states: Equivalent disclosures for any similar cases or systemic seized vs. disbursed data, including aggregate statistics on discrepancies over the past decade.
11. All financial records related to Title IV-D, including budgets, expenditures, revenue reports, incentive payments received/distributed, federal reimbursements, audits (internal/external), financial statements, grant applications, and any discrepancies or investigations into fund misuse.
12. All policies, procedures, manuals, training materials, guidelines, and directives governing Title IV-D operations, including enforcement protocols, due process checklists, rights notifications, appeal processes, and any updates or revisions over time.
13. All performance metrics, reports, evaluations, and data analytics for Title IV-D programs, including case closure rates, collection efficiencies, error rates, complaint logs, litigation outcomes, and demographic breakdowns of affected litigants.
14. All bonds, insurance policies, fidelity bonds, liability coverage, and indemnity agreements for any individual or entity involved in Title IV-D, including claims history, payout records, and underwriting documents.
15. All oaths of office, affirmations, ethical codes, conflict-of-interest forms, and certification documents for personnel handling Title IV-D matters, with verification of compliance and any violations noted.
16. All inter-agency communications, collaborative agreements, data-sharing protocols, and joint operation plans related to Title IV-D and broader family law enforcement (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce) across federal, state, and local levels, including privacy impact assessments and data security audits.
17. All complaints, investigations, lawsuits, settlements, and administrative actions related to Title IV-D and broader family law programs (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including whistleblower reports, Inspector General findings, and corrective action plans.
18. All legislative histories, lobbying records, policy advocacy materials, and stakeholder inputs influencing Title IV-D and broader family law implementation (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including communications with Congress, state legislatures, or advocacy groups.
19. All data retention policies, archival records, destruction logs, and backup systems for Title IV-D and broader family law documents (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), ensuring no spoliation has occurred, with certifications of completeness.
20. Any other records, in any form, that touch upon, reference, or relate to Title IV-D and broader family law administration, funding, enforcement, or challenges thereto (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), to the fullest extent permissible under applicable public records laws and contractual disclosure obligations.
21. Copies of every database report that has been produced or is required to be produced by contract from every County DRS section (or equivalent) in your state, including all reports on case volumes, collections, disbursements, incentives, compliance metrics, demographic data, and any other contractual reporting obligations, spanning the past 20 years.

22. Spot-check records for family law cases in March 2025: For each judge in your jurisdiction, provide the total number of cases handled by type (DVRO/PFA/RO, Custody, Support, Divorce), the full text of all orders issued in those cases, and a count of references to "Mathews Balancing Test" or "Mathews v. Eldridge" within those orders, including any related analyses, citations, or applications.
23. Any and all glossaries, definitions sections, manuals, or documents defining technical words and phrases used in Title IV-D or broader family law operations (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including both public-facing definitions and internal meanings or interpretations that must be substituted for casual usage, to reveal any discrepancies or specialized applications.
24. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that Federal Supremacy under U.S. Const. Art. VI does not apply to your Title IV-D or broader family law actions (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce proceedings), including any preemption analyses or legal opinions justifying state deviations. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that Federal Supremacy does not apply in these matters.
25. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that the right to care, custody, and control of children, as well as the right to acquire, possess, and protect property, are not fundamental liberties protected under the Constitution in Title IV-D or broader family law proceedings (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any reclassifications or diminutions of these rights. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that these are not fundamental liberties.
26. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that when abridging fundamental rights and liberties, you are not bound to strict scrutiny review in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including alternative standards applied and rationales for lesser scrutiny. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that strict scrutiny is not required.
27. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that when operating under strict scrutiny, you are not bound to demonstrate compelling state interests in Title IV-D or broader family law proceedings (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including interest inventories and prioritization documents. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that compelling state interests are not required.
28. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that when operating under strict scrutiny, your goal of interstate fund reimbursement (constituting money laundering when achieved through illegal means) does not need to rise to the "of the highest order" standard in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including cost-benefit analyses and alternative funding mechanisms considered. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that such reimbursement goals meet the "of the highest order" standard.
29. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that litigants, witnesses, or requesters do not have

free speech rights (U.S. Const. Amend. I) or the right to grieve/petition for redress in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any restrictions or suppressions justified. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that these rights do not apply.

30. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that you can engage in overbroad actions or restrictions (federally restricted under strict scrutiny and due process standards) in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any analyses or justifications for broad-sweeping measures. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that overbroad actions are permissible.
31. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that you can intimidate or oppress litigants, witnesses, or requesters in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any protocols, training, or justifications for coercive tactics. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that intimidation or oppression is permissible.
32. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that you can use gaslighting, ad hominem attacks, or other tactics to chill free speech or suppress grievances in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any communication strategies or retaliation policies. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that such tactics are permissible.
33. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that you can misapply laws, rules, codes, or caselaw in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any justifications, training materials, or internal guidance on selective application or deviation. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that misapplication is permissible.
34. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations regarding RICO predicate acts (18 U.S.C. § 1961) and how any individual or entity (including law enforcement) would somehow be immune from liability for enforcing void orders in treasonous plots or conspiracies related to Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any immunity analyses, legal opinions, or justifications for such actions. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for claiming or acting upon any immunity from RICO predicate acts in these contexts.
35. (For state AGs, state DHS heads, or DHS record request liaisons only) Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you (as state AGs, state DHS heads, or DHS record request liaisons) are operating under which lead you to believe that you have no obligation to prosecute or punish fiduciaries in the system—including custody conference officers, support enforcement/intake/conference officers, divorce masters, law enforcement, attorneys, judges, and state superior/supreme/appeal court judges or officials—for bad faith behavior or crimes such as treason, sedition, wire fraud, mail fraud, kidnapping, or other RICO predicate acts in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs,

custody, support, and divorce), including any defenses or justifications for non-action. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that you are not obligated to stop or punish such behavior and crimes.

36. (For state AGs, state DHS heads, or DHS record request liaisons only) Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you (as state AGs, state DHS heads, or DHS record request liaisons) are operating under which lead you to believe that you can allow crimes like treason, sedition, wire fraud, mail fraud, kidnapping, or other violations to go unstopped or unprosecuted in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any policies on prosecutorial discretion or non-enforcement related to family law fiduciaries. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that allowing such crimes is permissible.
37. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations clarifying under your applicable FOIA or public records laws (federal or state-specific) why you believe some or any part of this records request does not apply to you, including detailed exemptions claimed, Vaughn indices for each withholding, and legal bases for non-applicability. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that any part of this request does not apply, and all must be fully disclosed.
38. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations related to proactive disclosures of frequently requested records under FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(2)(D)) or state equivalents, including lists of such records and reasons for not proactively releasing Title IV-D or family law-related materials. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for withholding frequently requested records from proactive disclosure.
39. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that you can stonewall requests, be derelict in duties, or fail to respond timely to public records demands or other obligations in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any policies on delays, non-responses, or extensions. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that stonewalling or dereliction is permissible.
40. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that Mathews Balancing Tests (Mathews v. Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319 (1976)) are not required when abridging fundamental rights and liberties in Title IV-D or broader family law proceedings (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including risk assessments or procedural justifications. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that Mathews Balancing Tests are not required in these matters.
41. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that litigants are not entitled to neutral arbitration, due notice, substantive and procedural due process, and equal protection in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any waivers, consent forms, or case law citations relied upon. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that litigants are not entitled to these protections.
42. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that the fit parent standard is a low bar and

requires substantial and prolonged failure to provide for the safety and well-being of a child or deliberately putting them in clear and present danger in Title IV-D or broader family law contexts (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including evidentiary thresholds and application guidelines. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon a diminished fit parent standard.

43. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that you can generate or tolerate state-created dangers (prohibited under *DeShaney v. Winnebago County*, 489 U.S. 189 (1989) and related caselaw) in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any risk assessments or policies allowing such dangers. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that generating state-created dangers is permissible.
44. (For state supreme courts, judicial conduct boards, or disciplinary boards only) Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you (as state supreme courts, judicial conduct boards, or disciplinary boards) are operating under which lead you to believe that you can ignore federal supremacy under U.S. Const. Art. VI in your supervisory role over family law cases or enable lawbreaking by lower courts or officials in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any analyses justifying limited review or non-intervention. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that ignoring federal supremacy or enabling lawbreaking is permissible in your supervisory capacity.
45. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations related to your agency's FOIA/public records request handling processes, including denial rates, appeal statistics, average response times, fee waiver decisions, and any internal audits or reviews of compliance with disclosure laws over the past 10 years. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for non-transparent or non-compliant handling of requests.
46. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that federal regulations governing Title IV-D programs (e.g., 45 C.F.R. §§ 302-308) do not preempt or override conflicting state practices in family law proceedings (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any regulatory impact analyses or compliance checklists. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that federal regulations do not apply or can be ignored.
47. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that federal rules of evidence or procedure (e.g., Fed. R. Evid. 401-403 on relevance and prejudice) do not bind hybrid federal-state proceedings under Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any procedural harmonization memos or deviations justified. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that federal evidentiary or procedural rules do not apply.
48. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that indicate that upon hearing about these matters you're not required to do an internal investigation regarding the extent that the crimes alleged are impacting your organization, with a complete audit and suspension of all interstate Title IV-D funding until resolved, in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce). If no such

documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that such an investigation, audit, and suspension are not required.

49. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that your state's constitution (e.g., for Pennsylvania, Pa. Const. Art. I, §§ 1, 11, 26 on inherent rights, open courts, and no discrimination, or your state equivalent) does not protect litigants' rights to due process, equal protection, or redress in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any interpretations diminishing these state constitutional protections. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that state constitutional protections do not apply.
50. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that you are not bound by the statutory language in your state's domestic relations code sections (e.g., for Pennsylvania, 23 Pa.C.S. §§ 4301 et seq., or your state equivalent), nor by resulting domestic relations regulations, in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any justifications for circumvention or misapplication. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that you are not bound by these statutes and regulations.
51. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that you are not bound by case law pertaining to your state's domestic relations code sections and regulations in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any analyses dismissing or reinterpreting relevant precedents. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that you are not bound by this case law.
52. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that indicate that federal, state, and local court rules do not apply to you in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any justifications or exemptions claimed. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that court rules do not apply.
53. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that local rules of court (e.g., county-specific family court rules or administrative orders) can override federal or state mandates in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any preemption analyses or justifications for local deviations. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that local rules supersede higher authority.
54. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that commercial codes (e.g., UCC §§ 1-308 on acquiescence by silence/estoppel (or 13 Pa.C.S.A. § 1308 or your state equivalent), 1-304 on good faith (or 13 Pa.C.S.A. § 1304 or your state equivalent), 2-302 on unconscionable terms (or 13 Pa.C.S.A. § 2302 or your state equivalent)) do not apply to Title IV-D contracts, cooperative agreements, or third-party arrangements in family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any exemptions or alternative commercial standards applied. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that

there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that commercial codes do not govern these arrangements.

55. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that contractual obligations under Title IV-D agreements (e.g., §3.9 on bonds/oaths, §5.1 on budgets, §6.1 on incentives) can be ignored or modified without mutual consent or due process in family law proceedings (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any amendment processes or waiver justifications. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that these contractual obligations are not binding.
56. Any and all documents regarding communications or actions taken to disseminate this request, including who you contacted to ensure it was spread across relevant entities. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that no dissemination efforts were made, exposing you to further liability for obstruction.
57. Any and all documents regarding communications for help putting the answer together, including details of whoever was put in charge of this request and any form of communication they required for help to complete it and the source, time, document, recording, or notes regarding help received, by whom, and in what form. This should be a log with every interaction. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that no assistance efforts were made, exposing you to further liability for obstruction.
58. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that the Affirmation of Federal Supremacy in Section 9 of the Cooperative Agreement does not require full compliance with all federal Title IV-D requirements, including due process under *Mathews v. Eldridge* and strict scrutiny for abridging fundamental rights in family law proceedings (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce). If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that federal supremacy can be ignored or partially applied.
59. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that federal regulations (45 C.F.R. §§ 302-308) do not require the provision of all enumerated Title IV-D services (e.g., location, paternity establishment, support order establishment, enforcement, medical support) in full compliance with due process and equal protection standards in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any waivers or deviations justified. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that these services can be provided without full constitutional protections.
60. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that federal case law (e.g., *Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. 57 (2000) on parental rights; *Santosky v. Kramer*, 455 U.S. 745 (1982) on clear and convincing evidence for terminating parental rights) does not apply to Title IV-D proceedings or allows for lesser standards in family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any reclassifications of rights. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that federal precedents can be disregarded.
61. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that federal court rules (e.g., Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 on discovery) do not govern discovery or evidence in hybrid federal-state Title IV-D proceedings, including any local overrides justified. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes

your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that federal rules are inapplicable.

62. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that state plans under 42 U.S.C. § 654 do not require full adherence to federal supremacy, due process via Mathews Balancing Tests, strict scrutiny for fundamental rights, or the fit parent standard in Title IV-D or broader family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any state plan provisions allowing deviations. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that state plans supersede or modify federal requirements.
63. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that state codes (e.g., 23 Pa.C.S. §§ 4301 et seq. on child support or your state equivalent) permit non-compliance with federal Title IV-D obligations, such as providing all required services without abridging constitutional rights in family law proceedings (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any selective applications. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that state codes override federal mandates.
64. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that state regulations (e.g., 55 Pa. Code § 3140.21 on due process or your state equivalent) do not mandate Mathews tests, neutral arbitration, or strict scrutiny when impacting fundamental liberties in Title IV-D or family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any exemptions. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that state regulations allow lesser protections.
65. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that state case law (e.g., Curtis v. Kline on equal protection or your state equivalent) permits deviations from federal due process or equal protection in Title IV-D proceedings, including any reinterpretations in family law contexts (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce). If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that state precedents conflict with federal law.
66. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that state or local court rules (e.g., Pa.R.C.P. 1910.27 on discovery or your state equivalent) can be applied without ensuring federal supremacy, Mathews compliance, or strict scrutiny in Title IV-D matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any local rule justifications. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that court rules supersede federal obligations.
67. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that Cooperative Agreements (e.g., Section 3.9 on bonds/oaths, Section 5.1 on budgets) do not require suspension of payments for noncompliance under Section 8.5, or allow incentive payments to reward violations of federal supremacy in family law proceedings (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any performance metric analyses. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that contractual terms permit noncompliance.
68. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that incentive schemes under Section 6 are lawful

even if they incentivize non-compliance with federal due process, strict scrutiny, or fit parent standards by rewarding higher collections without constitutional safeguards in Title IV-D or family law matters (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any cost-benefit justifications. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that incentives can promote unlawful actions.

69. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that audits under Section 8.1 and corrective action plans under Section 8.4 are not mandatory, or can be ignored without triggering suspension of payments or penalties in cases of noncompliance with federal Title IV-D requirements (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any discretion policies. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that audits and CAPs are optional.
70. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that suspension of payments under Section 8.5 for noncompliance (e.g., below 80% performance standards) does not apply when violations involve abridging fundamental rights without strict scrutiny or Mathews tests in family law proceedings (including DVROs, PFAs, ROs, custody, support, and divorce), including any exception rationales. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that suspensions can be avoided for constitutional violations.
71. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that administrative duties under Section 3 (e.g., remittance, safeguarding information, record standards, bonding) can be performed without ensuring full due process and equal protection in Title IV-D cases, including any operational guidelines allowing shortcuts. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that these duties override constitutional rights.
72. Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that UCC provisions (e.g., § 1-304 on good faith (or 13 Pa.C.S.A. § 1304 or your state equivalent)) do not apply to Title IV-D cooperative agreements or allow bad faith in incentive distributions or audit enforcements, including any commercial exemptions. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for holding or acting upon the view that commercial good faith is not required.
73. Any and all documents, logs, emails, or affidavits proving dissemination of this demand to all judges and judicial staff in your jurisdiction, including timestamps, recipients, and confirmations of receipt. If none exist, this acknowledges failure to disseminate, violating RTKL § 902 (response duties) and exposing you to penalties.
74. (For judges or judicial agencies only) Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you (as judges or judicial agencies) are operating under which lead you to believe RTKL § 304 (requiring disclosure of financial records) or Pa.R.J.A. 509 (access to judicial financial records or your state equivalent) does not apply to Title IV-D-related documents (e.g., cooperative agreements, incentives, oaths/bonds). If none exist, this acknowledges no basis for exemption and requires full disclosure.
75. (For judges or judicial agencies only) Any and all documents showing why you believe judicial independence (Cooperative Agreement §1.2) allows evasion of RTKL requests for administrative/financial records in Title IV-D matters, including any analyses or court orders. If none exist, this acknowledges that independence does not bar compliance.

76. (For judges or judicial agencies only) Any and all records of judicial training, ethics opinions, or conduct board rulings on judges' duties to respond to public records requests under RTKL (or your state equivalent), including violations of Code of Judicial Conduct Rule 2.5 (competence/diligence, or your state equivalent) for non-response. If none exist, this acknowledges no training excuses evasion.
77. (For judges or judicial agencies only) Any and all documents, contracts, laws, regulations, opinions, memos, or interpretations that you are operating under which lead you to believe that judges, by entering into or administering Title IV-D cooperative agreements (e.g., as fiduciaries enforcing support orders under §2.2), do not waive judicial immunity for administrative acts (per *Forrester v. White*, 484 U.S. 219 (1988) and *Pulliam v. Allen*, 466 U.S. 522 (1984)), including any analyses on loss of absolute immunity in contractual/federal-funding contexts. If no such documents exist or are provided, this constitutes your acknowledgment that there is no lawful basis for claiming immunity in these administrative roles, requiring full accountability.
78. (For judges or judicial agencies only) Any and all documents, including spot-checks of judicial orders from the past 5 years, showing implementation of federal supremacy (U.S. Const. Art. VI) in Title IV-D/family law cases (e.g., citations to *Mathews v. Eldridge* for due process, strict scrutiny for parental rights under *Troxel v. Granville*, or preemption of state rules conflicting with 45 C.F.R. §§ 302-308). Provide counts of orders referencing these, full texts of 10 sample orders per judge, and any internal guidelines for applying federal law in courtrooms. If none exist, this acknowledges failure to implement federal supremacy, violating Cooperative Agreement §9 and judicial oaths.
79. (For judges or judicial agencies only) Any and all documents, complaints, investigations, or disciplinary records related to judges' failure to apply federal supremacy in Title IV-D proceedings, including referrals to Judicial Conduct Board (or your state equivalent) or Supreme Court for ethics violations (PA Code of Judicial Conduct Rule 2.2 on impartiality/law compliance, or your state equivalent). If none exist, this acknowledges no accountability mechanisms, exposing systemic non-compliance.
80. (For judges or judicial agencies only) Any and all affidavits or personal certifications from each judge handling Title IV-D cases, under penalty of perjury, affirming their courtroom application of federal supremacy (e.g., requiring *Mathews* tests before abridging rights), or explaining deviations. If none are provided, this constitutes admission of non-compliance, triggering mandamus (42 Pa.C.S. § 8301 or your state equivalent) to compel judicial adherence.
81. **For Court Clerks and Filing Protocols:** Any and all documents, including but not limited to policies, manuals, internal memos, training materials, emails, directives, or legal opinions, that authorize, permit, or provide guidance for court clerks or staff to deviate from standard protocols in the handling of filings, such as intentionally delaying processing, rejecting submissions without standard justification, or introducing errors into records, from January 1, 2006, to the present. In the event the agency defaults on this request by failing to provide responsive documents or a complete response, it shall be construed as an admission that no such authorizations exist and that any such deviations are unauthorized and improper.
82. **For Accountants in the Title IV-D System:** Any and all documents, including but not limited to accounting guidelines, audit procedures, compliance manuals, internal reports, correspondence, or legal interpretations, that allow or instruct accountants or financial personnel within the Title IV-D child support enforcement system to continue operations without corrective action after identifying material defects, discrepancies, or irregularities in processes, accounting records, actual figures versus reported data, or other indicators of systemic issues, from January 1, 2006, to the present. In the event the agency defaults on this request by failing to provide responsive documents or a complete response, it shall be construed as an admission that no such

allowances exist and that continuing operations amid known defects is unauthorized and improper.

83. **For Contract Operators in the System:** Any and all documents, including but not limited to contracts, amendments, addendums, waivers, subcontracts, legal opinions, or exemption clauses, that specifically exempt or relieve operators, vendors, or contractors within the system (such as those involved in Title IV-D administration) from fulfilling duties, obligations, or performance standards outlined in their contracts, from January 1, 2006, to the present. In the event the agency defaults on this request by failing to provide responsive documents or a complete response, it shall be construed as an admission that no such exemptions exist and that any failure to fulfill contractual duties is unauthorized and improper.

Consequences of Failure

No fees for public documents. Obstruction/default will be deemed intentional aiding and abetting the cartel—conspiracy under 18 U.S.C. § 371, treason under §2381, misprision under §2382—implicating you in trafficking, laundering, and fraud. This triggers admissions of guilt, fines, penalties, personal liability, DOJ referrals, RICO actions, and escalation to higher authorities/courts. Silence constitutes default and estoppel. Non-response by judges triggers immediate appeals to OOR (RTKL § 1101), mandamus petitions to compel disclosure (42 Pa.C.S. § 8301), and complaints to the Judicial Conduct Board for violating Code Rule 2.15 (failure to address misconduct). This includes personal liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for due process violations.

To the legal professionals among you—counsels, inspectors general, attorneys, and judges—you know better than nearly everyone else the extent of lawbreaking here and what you're facilitating willingly or through dereliction of duty. You have a fiduciary and ethical duty to stop it rather than effectuate it; your oaths demand nothing less. If you choose to default on this demand and continue shielding the cartel, be assured: we will pursue you relentlessly in every conceivable way. You will be named as respondents in civil and criminal actions exposing this massive crime spree. We will demand your arrests, prosecutions, and disbarments through citizen-led grand juries, federal investigations, and public accountability campaigns. Your careers, reputations, and freedoms hang in the balance—comply fully, or face the unyielding consequences of your betrayal of justice.

Timelines and Format

You have 20 days for full response (10 for acknowledgment; 5 for local items). Withholdings require Vaughn index (Ray). Electronic format preferred (email/thumb drive), with searchable PDFs and native files. If no records, state in writing under penalty of perjury (28 U.S.C. § 1746; judges via judicial oath). Judges must personally certify or delegate to their Open Records Officer, with copies to judicial conduct boards.

The public records laws for each state are as follows:

Alabama's is the Alabama Public Records Law under Al. Code §§ 36-12-40; 36-12-41.

Alaska's is the Alaska Public Records Act under A.S. §§ 40.25.110 to 40.25.125; 40.25.151.

Arizona's is the Arizona Public Records Law under A.R.S. §§ 39–121.01 to 39–121.03.

Arkansas's is the AK Freedom of Information Act under Ark. Code Ann. §§ 25-19-101 to 25-19-111.

California's is the California Public Records Act under Gov't Code §§ 7920.000 to 7931.000.
Colorado's is the Colorado Open Records Act under C.R.S. §§ 24-72-200.1 to 24-72-205.5.
Connecticut's is the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act under Connecticut General Statutes Chapter 14 §§ 1–200 to 1-242.
Delaware's is the Delaware Freedom of Information Act under Tit. 29, §§ 10001 to 10007; 10112.
District of Columbia's is the Freedom of Information Act under DC Official Code §§ 2–531 to 2-540.
Florida's is the Florida Sunshine Law under Fla. Stat. §§ 119.01 to 119.19.
Georgia's is the Georgia Open Records Act under O.C.G.A. §§ 50-18-70 to 50-18-103.
Hawaii's is the Uniform Information Practices Act (Modified) under Haw. Rev. Stat. §§ 92F-1 to 92F-43.
Idaho's is the Idaho Public Records Act under Idaho Code §§ 74–101 to 74-126.
Illinois's is the Illinois Freedom of Information Act under ILCS 5 §§ 140/1 to 140/11.6.
Indiana's is the Access to Public Records Act under IN Code §§ 5-14-3-1 to 5-14-3-10.
Iowa's is the Iowa Open Records Law under Iowa Code §§ 22.1 to 22.16.
Kansas's is the Kansas Open Records Act under KSA §§ 45–215 to 45-524.
Kentucky's is the KY Open Records Act under Kentucky Revised Statute Chapter §§ 61.870 to 61.884.
Louisiana's is the Louisiana Public Records Law under La.R.S. §§ 44:31 to 44:41.
Maine's is the Maine Freedom of Access Act under Tit. 1, §§ 400 to 434.
Maryland's is the Maryland Public Information Act under Gen. Provis. §§ 4–101 to 4-601.
Massachusetts's is the Massachusetts Public Records Law under Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 66, §§ 1 to 21.
Michigan's is the Freedom of Information Act under Mich. Comp. Laws Ann. §§ 15.231 to 15.246.
Minnesota's is the Data Practices Act under Minn. Statutes §§ 13.01 to 13.99 Ch. 13 Appendix.
Mississippi's is the Mississippi Public Records Act under Miss. Code Ann. §§ 25-61-1 to 25-61-19.
Missouri's is the Missouri Public Records Act under Mo. Code §§ 109.180; 610.010 to 610.225.
Montana's is the Montana Public Records Act under Montana Code §§ 2-6-101 to 2-6-1020.
Nebraska's is the Nebraska Public Records Law under Nebraska Statutes §§ 84–712 to 84-712.09.
Nevada's is the Nevada Open Records Act under N.R.S. §§ 239.010-239.340.
New Hampshire's is the Right to Know Law under R.S.A. Ch. 91-A:1 to 91-A:10.
New Jersey's is the New Jersey Open Public Records Act under N.J.S.A. §§ 47:1A-1 to 47:1A-13.
New Mexico's is the Inspection of Public Records Act under NMSA §§ 14-2-1 to 14-2-12.
New York's is the New York Freedom of Information Law under Pub. Off. §§ 84 to 90.
North Carolina's is the North Carolina Public Records Law under NCGS Chapter 132–1 to 132-11.
North Dakota's is the Open Records Statute under NDCC §§ 44-04-18 to 44-04-32.
Ohio's is the Ohio Open Records Law under Ohio Rev. Code §§ 149.43 to 149.45; 2743.75.
Oklahoma's is the Oklahoma Open Records Act under Title 51 Oklahoma Statutes §§ 24A.1 to 24A.32.
Oregon's is the Oregon Public Records Law under O.R.S. §§ 192.311 to 192.513.
Pennsylvania's is the Right-to-Know Law under 65 Pennsylvania Statute §§ 67.101 to 67.1310.
Rhode Island's is the Rhode Island Access to Public Records Act under P.L. §§ 38-2-1 to 38-2-16.
South Carolina's is the SC Freedom of Information Act under S.C. Code Ann. §§ 30-4-10 to 30-4-165.
South Dakota's is the South Dakota Sunshine Law under SDCL Chapter 1-27-1 to 1-27-48.
Tennessee's is the Tennessee Open Records Act under Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 10-7-503 to 10-7-508.
Texas's is the Texas Public Information Act under Gov't §§ 552.001 to 552.376.
Utah's is the Gov Records Access and Management Act under Utah Code Title 63G-2-101 to 63G-2-804.
Vermont's is the Vermont Open Records Law under Vermont Statute Tit. 1, §§ 315 to 320.
Virginia's is the Virginia Freedom of Information Act under Code of Virginia §§ 2.2-3700 to 2.2-3715.
Washington's is the Washington Public Records Act under RCW §§ 42.56.001 to 42.56.904.
West Virginia's is the WV Freedom of Information Act under W.Va. Code §§ 29B-1-1 to 29B-1-7.
Wisconsin's is the Wisconsin Open Records Law under Wisconsin Statute §§ 19.21 to 19.39.
Wyoming's is the Wyoming Sunshine Law under Wyo. Stat. §§ 16-4-201 to 16-4-205.